# **CHAPTER-III**

# ŚANKARADEVA, HIS *BHAKTI* MOVEMENT AND CONTEMPORARY ECONOMIC BACKGROUND

#### 3.0: Introduction

Śańkaradeva (1449-1568 A.D.), was the founder of Assamese Vaishnavism. He contributed to the foundation of Assamese society in a number of ways. He was a multifaceted genius. He was an erudite scholar, a prolific writer, a versatile poet of unlimited merit, a lyricist of universal acceptance, a musician of high caliber, a pioneer in the field of Assamese prose, drama and dramatic performances, a painter and above all the greatest religious teacher-preacher-leader of the medieval Neo-Vaishnavite movement in Assam which is rightly known as Śańkaradeva Movement.<sup>1</sup>

The *Bhakti* Movement or the Neo-Vaishnavite Movement refers to the powerful spiritual awakening emerged against degenerated medieval Hinduism in India. "This movement originated in South India during the seventh century A.D., spread northwards from Tamil Nadu through Karnataka and Maharashtra, and gained wide acceptance in fifteenth-century in Bengal and northern India". The *Bhakti* Movement threw a new light on the spiritual and cultural life of the people of India, and, at the same time, also revived and re-established the political and social

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prasad, K. N. (1990). Śaṅkaradeva: A Trend- Setter in Assam. in B. P. Chaliha (ed.), Journal of Śrīmanta Śaṅkaradeva Research Institute, Volume I. p.83

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schomer, K. & McLeod, W. H. (ed.). (1987). *The Sants: Studies in a Devotional Tradition of India*. p.1

conditions of the country. Many Vaishnava leaders like Ramananda (1299-1410 A.D.) of North-India, Kabir (1398-1518 A.D.) of Benaras, Namdeva (1270- 1350 A.D.) and Tukarama (1608-1649 A.D.) of Maharastra, Nanaka (1469-1539 A.D.) of Punjab, Vallabhacarya (1479-1531 A.D.) of Andhra, Vrajamandala, Tulsidasa (1523- 1623 A.D.) of North India, Chaitanydeva (1486-1533 A.D.) of Bengal and others put forward the movement and carried the message of *Bhakti* to the masses.<sup>3</sup>

Before Śańkaradeva, Vaishnavism was not unknown to the people of this eastern part of India. The introduction of Vaishnava cult in Assam took place sometime in the fourth century. It can be inferred from the Umācala inscription of Surendra Varmā (280-320 A.D.) on the erection of cave-temple of Bhāgavata Balabhadra Swāmī.<sup>4</sup> The earliest recorded reference to the worship of *Viṣṇu* in Kamrupa occurs in the Badagangā Rock inscription of Mahābhuti Varman (554 AD) which refers to the king as *Parama-Bhāgvata*.<sup>5</sup> Gradually the centres of *Viṣṇu* worships, i.e, *Vāsudeva Pītḥa* and *Hayagrīva Pītḥa* were developed. Even Śańkaradeva found a statue of Lord *Viṣṇu* at Alipukhuri when he constructed the *Kirtanghar*.<sup>6</sup> However, Vaishnsvism prevailed on the eve of the neo-vaishnavite movement was *paňcaratna* Vaishnavism. This form of Vaishnavism is quite different

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Das, N. (2013). *Assam Vaishnavism: An Account.* in S. C. Bora and Biswajit Kalita (ed.), Śańkaradeva Studies- Selected Articles from Mahapurusa Jyoti. P.17

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Sarma cited by Roychoudhury, B. N. (1985). *Comparative Study of the Thoughts of Sankaradeva and Tulsidasa*. p.22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Baruah, B. K. (2011). A Cultural History of Assam. p.172

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> KGC, p.27

from the one introduced by Śańkaradeva.<sup>7</sup> Here Viṣṇu worship was associated with  $m\bar{u}rtti$ , mantra and  $d\bar{\imath}k\bar{\imath}a$ .<sup>8</sup> Choudhury remaked,

Both literary and archaeological sources signify that the worship of Vishnu and his incarnations was established in the land from early times. It is admitted by all that during the pre-Sankarite period a large number of poets, writers in ancient Kamarupa professed and actively supported Vaisnavism. A significant number of Vaisnava thinkers wrote on early Vaisnavism and practised it to save the society from further degeneration and disintegration. <sup>9</sup>

## 3.1: Life of Śańkaradeva

#### Birth:

Śańkaradeva was born in the month of 'Āhin' (September- October) of 1449 A.D in Bardowa in modern Nagaon district of Assam. His father's name was Kusumbar Bhuyan and mother's name was Satyasandha. The parents considered the baby as a boon of Lord Śiva and accordingly he was named Śańkara. Śańkaradeva himself mentioned Bardowa village as his birth place in his literary compositions.

bardavā nāme āchileka grāma ṭembuvāṇī bandhe sāra<sup>10</sup>

bardavā nāme grāma śasye matsye anupāma lohityara āti anukūla<sup>11</sup>

tembuvāṇī bandhe grāma bardovā yāra nāma lohityara jale anukūla<sup>12</sup>

tembuvāṇī bandhe baisāilā pravandhe bardovā nāme grāma<sup>13</sup>

<sup>7</sup> Sarma, S. N. (2016). The Neo-Vaishnavite Movement and the Satra Institutions of Assam. p.5

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Baruah, A. (1989). The Religious Form of life in Assam with special reference to the Vaishnavism of Shankaradeva. p.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Choudhury, M. (2011). The Vedanta Philosophy and The Vaishnavism of Assam. p.33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Bhāg. 8<sup>th</sup> Canto, vs. 309

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Ibid, 10<sup>th</sup> Canto, vs. 1476

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> HU, vs. 292

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> RH, vs. 529

#### **Childhood:**

Śańkara lost his parents at an early age and was brought up by his grandmother Khersuti. Sankara spent a completely free childhood amidst the nature. He used to play with his friends all the day, go for catching the birds and other animals, climb the trees in the forest to pluck fruits, swim in the river etc. They were playing games like ghilā, dhop, kotorā, bhaṭā, dugdugali, etc. Śaṅkara had the fond of catching deer and birds, tortoise and porpoise and other wild animals. <sup>14</sup> However, he showed love to the animals and birds at that time itself. After catching the birds and animals, he and the friends play with them for fun and freed later on. One day the group of boys played a deadly game of swimming across the swelled mighty river Brahmaputra. They kept two boats behind them as safety measure. 15 Few could cover one-fourth, few half and only Rāmrām and Śańkara could cross the river. However, only Śańkara could be able to swim back. 16

#### **Education:**

Up to twelve years Śankara had continued the very wild life. This caused much dissatisfaction to his grandmother Khersuti. One day after serving food she reminded Śańkara that his forefathers were all renowned scholars, and that it was up to him to make or mar this glorious tradition of the family. She also explained him the importance of education in the life of a person. Without learning or knowledge of scriptures, he would not be respected in society. Even physical strength without

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Neog, M. (2008). Early History of the Vaiṣṇava Faith and Movement in Assam: Śaṅkaradeva and His *Times.* p.102

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> GC, p.259

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> KGC, p.19

education will not alleviate poverty.<sup>17</sup> She pursued him with the proverb  $r\bar{a}j\bar{a}ka$  swadeśehe  $p\bar{u}je$ , bidyāvanta sarbbadeśe  $p\bar{u}jya$ .  $m\bar{a}t\bar{a}$  śatru  $pit\bar{a}$  bair $\bar{i}$ , yena bālya na  $p\bar{a}thit\bar{a}$ . sabhāmadhye na śobhante haṅsamadhye bako yathā.<sup>18</sup> It means "A king is revered in the country only, but the educated person is respected everywhere. Those fathers and mothers were enemy to a child who would not send him to a school. An uneducated person remained un-shine in a gathering just like amongst the goose one heron is matchless".

The suggestion had its impact and Śaṅkara agreed to go school. He then assured his grandmother, "Send me to a teacher. I will satisfy you by completing my studies within a very short period." Listening to that Khersuti was delighted and took him to the school (*tol*) of Mahendra Kandali, who was a renowned teacher and Sanskrit scholar of those times. "Thus the education life of Śaṅkaradeva was started in the month of 'Bhada' (August-September) of 1461 A.D."

From the very beginning Sankara started to study wholeheartedly. He could immediately remember whatever the teacher taught him. Once something was told to him, there was no need to repeat. He had such the extraordinary talent that he composed one poem of ten lines describing the attributes of lord *Viṣṇu* only after learning the consonant (*karatala kamala kamaladala nayana*/ *bhabadaba dahana gahana bana śayana*...). Śaṅkara dedicated his entire time for study. He worked so hard that he could complete the course of studies within less time than was required ordinarily. The *Kathā-Guru-Carit* provides the information of his way of study and a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Goswami, S. (2014). Yugasrashta Mahapurush Srimanta Sankardev, p.34

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> KGC, pp. 19-20

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Borkakoti, S. K. (2015). Srimanta Sankaradeva: a Multi-faceted Genius. p.11

detailed list of subjects and works studied by him in the *Tol*. He used to sleep only few hours, that again in the study table (dowāl pirhā) itself. He kept two books and two lamps on each side of the bed along with betel-nut. He read one book, relaxed on the bed, took turn and started to read the other book. Sankaradeva virtually covers all branches of Indian learning available at that time—the Vedas—*R̄ka*, *Sāma*, *Atharbba*, Yajura, Trbeda; fourteen Śāstra— Śikṣā, Kalpa, Jyotiṣa, Rīti, Nīti, Nirukta, Chanda, Śruti, Smrti, Mīmānsā, Tarka, Kalāpa, Trka, Nyāya; eighteen Purāṇas— Śiva, Matsya, Baisnava purāṇa, Skanda, Kūrmma, Garuḍa, Mārkaṇḍeya, Bhāgavata, Bāmana, Barāha, Linga, Bhavişyata, Brahmānda, Baibartta, Vrhata, Nāradi, Brahma, Padma; two epics— Mahābhārata and Rāmāyana; eighteen Samhitās— Sut, Byās, Gautamī, Nāradī, Aagasti, Baśisti, Mārkendeya, Brahma, Vrngi, Parāśara, Biśwāmitra, Bharadwāja, Durbbasā, Baiśwānara, Kapila, Angirasa, Sauri; fourteen grammars— Śabdaratna, Mugdhabodha Jňānānkuśa, Pānini, Kalāpa, Paňjikā, Swarapradīpa, Sabdajňāna, Praśnabodha, Prākrta, Sandhi, Brtti, Krti, Ākhyata; eighteen Tantra; eighteen Kābya— Māgha, Bhārabī, Bhāratī, Naiṣadha, Hitopadeśa, Bṛndabana Candradhārī, Anyāpadeśa, Mohmudgara, Pradhāna, Hanumantī, Himavantī, Meghdutajňana, Navaratna, Paňcaratna, Daridra, Aastaka, Muňjāstaka, Bidyābiduṣī, Śāntiśataka; eighteen Koṣa- Daṇḍi, Prabodhacandra, Ānandalahari, Śrngara-Tilaka, Śrutabodha, Rtumanjari, Hāsyārnaba, Kabiratna, Amara, Hārāwali, Medinī, Śabdaratna, Kalāpa, Paňjikā, Śabdajňana, Praśnabodha, Śrutabodha, Śrutibodha; Amar and Cānakya.

Śańkara composed his first masterpiece *Haricandra Upākhyāna* in Assamese verses based on *Mārkaṇḍeya Pūrāṇa* in the *Tol* itself.<sup>20</sup> "The pupil's pleasant bearing and mental resourcefulness, nay his signs of greatness, so impressed his teacher Kandali from the very start that the epithet of 'deva' was conferred on him." After that everyone began to address Śańkara as Śańkaradeva. Murthy summarized the achievements of Śańkaradeva in the *Tol* as "During the course of his student career, Śańkaradeva made himself thoroughly efficient in Sanskrit, Scriptures, songs, dance, music, dramatic performance and painting, still current in the country. In other words, pious imagination supplemented by an extraordinary precocity of intellect made him a prodigy that master all branches of learning." <sup>22</sup> Śańkaradeva completed his schooling within five years.

# Responsibility of Śīromoṇi Bhūňā and Start of Reformative Life:

After returning home from the *Tol*, Śańkaradeva was more inclined to have a studious career rather than to take to the ordinary ways of the world. But he had to take the responsibility as the Śīromoṇi Bhūňā at the age seventeen years due to the force by his grand fathers, Jayanta, Burḥā Khā and other superiors. Soon he became popular among his subjects and admirer as *Dekāgirī* or young leader.<sup>23</sup> During those times Śańkaradeva used to practice *Yoga*. It made his health stronger. Even he could become able to defeat one wild ox when the later tried to attack him. But he was more interested in reforming the society. In the words of Medhi,

<sup>20</sup> KGC, p. 21

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>Choudhury, P. C. (1996). *Jagatguru Srimanta Śaṅkaradeva*. P.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>Murthy, H.V. (1961). Vaisnavism of Śańkaradeva and Ramanuja: A Comparative Study. P.64 <sup>23</sup> GC. P.283

From his school days Śaṅkaradeva revolted against the prevailing religious practices. He condemned slaughter of innocent animals and birds in the name of religion, looked with disfavor the distinction of castes and classes, and felt shocked at the general ignorance and helplessness of the masses in the religious matters. He resolved very early to emancipate them from the religious and social thralldom and to devise a popular and true philosophic religion based on Ahimsa, equality and truth<sup>24</sup>.

His education and realization got him out from the prevailing barriers of religious dogmatism, superstitions, taboos and many other social inhibitions. Besides administrative duties, Śańkaradeva used to spend his times in spiritual studies and discussions. His resourceful talks and gentle behavior were happening to attract the people. He gradually started to teach the common people that it is better to devote Lord Kṛṣṇa than worshiping many Gods and Goddesses. In the year 1468, Śańkaradeva established *Kīrttana Ghar* (initially it was called *Deva Mandira* or *Deva Gṛha*) for the first time at Bardowa.<sup>25</sup>

#### Cihna Yātrā:

When Śańkaradeva was nineteen years old, he enacted one dance drama called *Cihna Yātrā* (dramatic representation with painted drop scene in the background). According to Ramacarana Thakur's description, one day Śańkaradeva's grand fathers Jayanta and Madhava as well as some other senior Bhuyan people met him and asked to visually show them the activities of *Vaikunthas* (paradise). The Bhuyans had already convinced about the scholarly acquaintance of Śańkaradeva. They said, "You are well versed in all learning and have completed the study of all scriptures. Give joy to all of us by showing the picture of the paradise". To fulfill their wish Śańkaradeva

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Medhi, K. (1997). *Aṅkāvalī*. pp. XLvi- XLvii

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>PKGC, pp. 35-36

45

himself prepared the paintings of the celestial abode of God on cotton made paper called  $Tul\bar{a}p\bar{a}t$ . <sup>26</sup> Borkakoti wrote,

Chihna Yātrā was a great cultural event. Srimanta Sankaradeva showed the seven Vaikunthas (abode of God) through different stages, drop-scenes etc. There were dance and music, both directed by Srimanta Sankaradeva. Thirty men acted in the drama including Srimanta Sankaradeva himself. People were dumb founded by his matchless artistic talent. About ten thousand spectators enjoyed this great show, which continued for seven days. <sup>27</sup>

Even after completion of the drama, people from long distance used to come to see the paintings for several days. The drama had such significant impact on the people that Śańkaradeva's teacher Mahendra Kandali declared in front of the crowd that he accepted Śańkaradeva as his *Guru* (preceptor). Other people like Rāmrām, Caturbhūja, Narottama, Jayanta, Mādhava etc. also wanted to initiate to Śańkaradeva at that moment. But Śańkaradeva advised them to come later. He completed the composition of *Udhava Sambāda* and initiated the people in front of this holy book. This was the formal beginning of his *EŚHND*. Through *Cihna Yātrā*, Śańkaradeva tried to spread the basic principle of *EŚHND*, where *Viṣṇu* is worshiped solely without Laksmi. In *Cihna Yātrā*, while Śańkaradeva was acting as *Viṣṇu* in the seventh *Vaikunṭha* (or *Paṅkaja Vaikunṭha*), there was no character of Laksmi near him.

However, the *Kathā-Guru-Carit* and the *Bardowa-Carit* recorded that the *Cihna Yātrā* was performed by Śaṅkaradeva after returning from first pilgrimage. Other hagiographers like Daitrari, Rāmānanda and Bhusana are silent on this subject.<sup>29</sup> But Borkakoti argued that if *Cihna Yātrā* was enacted after first pilgrimage its

<sup>26</sup> GC, pp.191-193

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Borkakoti, 2015, p.13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> GC, pp.311-316

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Neog, 2008, P. 107

language would certainly be *Brajawali* as the case of other plays of Śańkaradeva. Similarly, its techniques were different from other plays. So, Cihna Yātrā was enacted in 1468 A.D.<sup>30</sup> Neog in his work *Yuganāyaka Śrīmanta Śaṅkaradeva* also has mentioned that Cihna Yātrā by Śankaradeva was enacted before his first pilgrimage.

# **Marriage and Domestic Life:**

After Cihna Yātrā, people widely accepted Śankaradeva as a preacher. People gathered around Śańkaradeva to hear religious matters from him. He used to tell the people about Lord Kṛṣṇa. One day when he was twenty one years old, decided to build a Doul. With the help of fellow Bhuyans he constructed the Doul and celebrated the Holi festival. 31 In the same year, i.e, 1470, Śankaradeva got married to Suryavati aged fourteen, daughter of Harivaragirī Kāyastha. In spite of a busy schedule of being a householder and an administrator, Śańkaradeva continued his deep studies of various scriptures. Besides he kept himself busy in studying the culture of different ethnic groups at that time. However, the couple had a girl child three years after the marriage and was named Manu or Haripriyā. But unfortunately Suryavati died when Manu was nine months old only.<sup>32</sup>

#### **First Pilgrimage:**

Suryavati's death had massive impact on Śańkaradeva. He now devoted most of his times in study. He wanted to visit the then renowned centers of knowledge, but could not do so due to the responsibility of his daughter. Śańkaradeva conducted the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> PKGC, p.46 <sup>31</sup> GC, p.326

marriage of Manu when she was nine years old to Hari, a *kāyastha* youth. After few days, he handed over the responsibility of household to son-in-law Hari and the affairs of administration to Jayanta-dalai and Mādhava-dalai<sup>33</sup> and set out for his first pilgrimage at the age of thirty-two years. Seventeen persons including Mahendra Kandali, Rāmrāma, Sarvajoy, Paramānanda, Balorāma, Śrīrāma etc. were accompanied to Śańkaradeva. The means of transport was mainly boat and foot. On the way, Śańkaradeva composed his first *Bargīta* at Roumari in lower Assam which was—*rāma meri hṛdaya paṅkaje raise/ bhāi citta cintasa kaise....*<sup>34</sup>

After two months and twenty one days the group reached the Ganga River. From there they headed towards Gaya where they stayed three days. They reached back the Ganga on tenth day. Twenty one days later, the group reached Jagannath Khetra. Śańkaradeva sent back all others from Jagannath Puri except Sarvajoy, Balorāma and Paramānanda. The four then went to Varanasi, Prayag, Sitakund, Uttar Bahini, Ayodha and Bhardwaj Ashram on the way to Vrindaban. During the tour, Śańkaradeva got the opportunity to involve in discussions and discourses with many scholars. Highly impressed by his knowledge of the scriptures, many admirers became his disciples. At Vrindaban, one ascetic by the name of Rādhā along with Rupa, Sanātana Goswāmī and Vṛndābana Dāsa became his disciples. From Vrindaban, they visited Hastinapur, Indraprashtha, Kurukshetra on the way to Badarikashram. At Badarikashram in the year 1489, Śańkaradeva composed the second *Bargīta*, (mana

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> GC, pp.364-367

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> PKGC, p.66

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> GC, pp.382-397

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> KGC, p.24

meri rāma caraṇahi lāgu).<sup>37</sup> From Badarikashram, they went to Chitrakut, Nepal, Nishad, Dravid-desh, Sweta-dweep, Mathura, Kanyakumari, Panchawati ashram and via Haridwar, reached Jagannath Puri. Here they rested for few days. During his stay at Puri, Śańkaradeva explained the legends of Lord Krishna to the devotees. After spending long 12 years on pilgrimage, Śańkaradeva returned home in the year 1493  $A.D.^{38}$ 

#### **Returning from Pilgrimage and Second Marriage:**

After return from the first pilgrimage, Śańkaradeva devoted wholeheartedly to the propagation of his cult and large scale initiation of people took place. He had no time now to look after his ancestral estates. But pressures from his relatives continued to come and had to enter domestic life again at the age of 48 (1497 A.D.) by marrying Kālindī. Though he had not retained the land lordship but he retained the responsibility of one hundred weaver families as he wanted to incorporate some new ideas and innovations in this industry.<sup>39</sup> In the year 1509 A.D., Śańkaradeva established the Bardowa *Thān* at a place where his forefathers used to cultivate mustard crop. 40 He designed the *Thān* complex in such that the *Kīrttanghar* was at the centre with houses for the devotees on the four sides. At *Kīrttanghar* prayers were held regularly and after that he sat together with the disciples to discuss religious matters. Very soon, Bardowa became the centre of the Neo Vaishnavite Movement. He now propagated the Bhāgavata faith, attributes of Harināma and Eka Śaraṇa based on Holy Gītā in a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Ibid, p.67

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Goswami, 2014 p.54
 <sup>39</sup> Borkakoti, S.K. (2007). Place of Srimanta Sankaradeva in All-India Perspective. P.19

<sup>40</sup> Ibid, p.20

systematic way. "In order to induce people to the new religion he first made the verses of the Kīrtana-ghoṣā, and when he saw that people were attracted towards the creed, Śańkara extended his range of literary activity, and adopted several other forms of literature." Śańkaradeva at that time composed *Bhakti Pradīpa* based on *Garuḍa Purāṇa* and *Rukminī Haraṇa* based on *Haribaṅgśa.* After that he had started to translate *Bhāgavata Purāṇa* in Assamese language but faced difficulty as complete compilation of the original text was not available with him. He had to keep the work half done till the arrival of Jagadish Misra of Tirhut, a disciple of Brahmananda Sanyasi. The latter brought a complete copy of the *Bhāgavata Purāṇa*, furnished with Sridhara Swami's commentary, from Puri to Śańkaradeva.

## **Conflict with Kacharis and Leaving Bardowa:**

The Kacharis, a neighboring tribe very frequently disturbed and panicked the Bhuyans. They beaten the subjects of Bhuyan territory, looted the agricultural crops and other assets. Unable to confront them directly, some senior Bhuyans like Burḥā Khā, Ketāi Khā, Gābharu Khā, Rup Khā et.al planned to defeat them by deceit. The Bhuyans offered their hand in friendship and invited the Kacharis for a feast at a place near Deora Beel. While the Kacharis were having the food, suddenly the Bhuyans attack them and killed as many as possible. When Śańkaradeva came to know about this ghastly incident he censured the Bhuyans and said that they must have to pay for their crime and advised them to cross the river Brahmaputra. Accordingly,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Neog, 2008, p.107

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Goswami, 2014, p.61

<sup>43</sup> Neog, 2008, p.107

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> KGC, P.33

Śaṅkaradeva and other Bhuyans left Bardowa in 1516 A.D. They first settled at Singari and then at Rowta. After six months they heard the news of advancing the Koch army. So, Śaṅkaradeva moved to the east towards Āhom Kingdom and settled at Gangmow. He started to propagate the Vaishnavite faith. As in Bardowa, many people came to Gangmow to be initiated by Śaṅkaradeva. At that place they stayed for five years. During this period Śaṅkaradeva composed a drama *Patnī Prasāda.* But at Gangmow also the Daflas began to attack Bhuyans and killed the cattle belonging to Bhuyans. To avoid conflict Śaṅkaradeva made the Bhuyans to leave Gangmow in the early part of 1522 A.D. They made a brief halt at Komorakata for four months and at Maluwalor Ati for two months. Śaṅkaradeva's eldest son Rāmānanda was born at Maluwalor Ati and hearing the news Śaṅkaradeva composed the song *pāwe pari hari karoho* the Bhuyans finally settled at Dhuwahata in the second half of 1522 A.D.

#### At Dhuwahata: Meeting of Chief Apostle Mādhavadeva:

On reaching Dhuwahata, Śaṅkaradeva set up a *Thān* with a *Nāmghar* at the centre. The Āhom monarch extended helping hand to the Bhuyans by providing land and royal duties. Śaṅkaradeva's cousin Jagatānanda, was given a government office with the title *Rāmrāi* and son-in-law Hari was made a local over-lord by the king. Śaṅkaradeva's other sons Kamala Locana and Haricarana and a daughter Rukminī

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> PKGC, P. 93

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Goswami, 2014, P.92

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Borkakoti, 2007, P.22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> GCK, P.188

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Borkakoti, 2015, P.17

were born at Dhuwahata in 1524, 1526 and 1528 A.D. respectively.<sup>50</sup> Due to persistent effort of Śańkaradeva, EŚHND received widespread public acceptance and many people came forward to become his disciple. At Dhuwahata Sankaradeva's greatest achievement was the meeting of Mādhavadeva, in 1522 A.D. At that time Mādhavadeva was thirty-two years old and was a businessman. He was a rigid Sākta and was proud of his learning. He came near Śańkaradeva for a debate after knowing that his brother-in-law Gayāpani (Rāmdāsa) refused to procure two goats to be sacrificed to Goddess Durga. Gayāpani already initiated to Śańkaradeva and accepted Vaishnavism. Quoting his preceptor, Gayāpani said that one should never sacrifice any animal to please deities as the animal also a creature in which the God resides. Mādhavadeva did not accept the argument and set out for debate with Śańkaradeva. They debated about for four hours and a half. To defend his Saktism and the path of activism (pravrtti-mārga), Mādhavadeva quoted different verses from various scriptures while Sankaradeva with calm but mightier arguments tried to establish the superiority of the Kṛṣṇa cult and the path of detachment (nivṛtti-mārga). But Mādhavadeva was not satisfied and provided new arguments. At last Śańkaradeva cited the Tarumūla Sloka from Bhāgavata Purāna which means, "as the branches, leaves, and foliage of a tree are nourished by the pouring of water only at the root of the tree, as the limbs of the body are nourished by putting food only in the stomach, so all gods and goddesses are propitiated only by the worship of Acyuta"<sup>51</sup>. Mādhavadeva finally convinced that Śańkaradeva was on correct stand, and very promptly he fell at the latter's feet and accepted him as his preceptor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Ibid, p.18

#### **Hostility of the Brahman Priest and Royal Persecution:**

The combined effort of Śaṅkaradeva and Mādhavadeva gave a strong impetus to the *EŚHND* and the Dhuwahata resonated with the sound of *Nāma-Kīrttana*. People from all walks of life came to Dhuwahata to become initiated to *EŚHND* propounded by Śaṅkaradeva. The rising popularity of Śaṅkaradeva's creed posed a stiff challenge to brahmanical priestly class.

Bhakti is the way open to all, irrespective of any caste barriers; it gives the same religious footing to the Brāhmaṇ and the Caṇḍāla alike. Śaṅkaradeva rendered the sacred scripture, the Bhāgavata Purāṇa into simple Assamese songs and verses, which even the illiterate could sing or recite or enjoy. He, moreover, declared that this simple singing of the tales of Viṣṇu-Kṛṣṇa and the taking of refuse in him would be an effort sufficient for the attainment of final beatitude.<sup>51</sup>

His teachings minimized the importance of brahmanical priesthood and caused a threat to their livelihood. A section of the offended priests started abusing and molesting Śańkaradeva's followers. When intensity of such hostility increased, Śańkaradeva arranged a meeting at the residence of Burḥā-Khā with the leaders of the Brahmans. Through scholarly debate Śańkaradeva made them admit that even from the traditional viewpoint his religious teaching was correct. Even after this, hostility did not decrease. Finally the priests complained to the Āhom king Chuhungmung (1497-1539A.D.), who summoned Śańkaradeva to his court for a debate with them. Śańkaradeva was able to convince the king that he was not a religious rebel and a threat to the social order, and the charges against him were dropped.

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Ibid, p.111

#### Flight from Dhuwahata:

The political condition began to change. Chuklengmung ascended the throne in the year 1539 A.D. by assassinating Chuhungmung. In the west, in Koch Kingdom, Naranārāyana ascended the throne after the death of Bishwasingha (1540 A.D.) and his brother Cilārāi became the commander in chief of the Koch Army. The new Āhom king could not take the rising popularity of Śańkaradeva easily. He began to think that in the garb of new religious faith, Śańkaradeva was trying for an independent self rule, and if he was not stopped, then his Kingdom would be at danger. The relationship between Ahoms and Bhuyans was gradually deteriorated. In the early part of 1540 A.D., Chuklengmung launched a big expedition to capture wild elephants with the wish to raise his elephant's strength to one thousand. The newly captured elephants were kept in a large barricade and asked different groups of people for guarding from different sides. Although the Bhuyans were totally inexperienced in such task but were ordered to guard the western side. <sup>52</sup>A few elephants escaped from their side. For not executing the royal job properly, the king sent royal officers to arrest Śańkaradeva and his men. Hearing the royal order beforehand, Śańkaradeva remained underground. Unable to capture Śańkaradeva, the officers arrested Mādhavadeva and Śańkaradeva's son-in-law Hari and took them to the capital. After trial the king gave the order to execute Hari and six months imprisonment to Mādhavadeva.<sup>53</sup>

Seeing the aggressive attitude of Āhom king towards the Bhuyans, Śańkaradeva realized that it would be impossible to propagate his faith in the Āhom

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Borkakoti, 2015, p.22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Goswami, 2014, p.116

Kingdom. He decided to migrate to Kamrūpa which was under Koch monarch. Sankaradeva had communicated the sad plight of the Bhuyans through a messenger to Gābharu-Khā (a Bhuyan who was serving the post of commander in the Koch Army). The latter referred the matter to Naranārāyaṇa. The Koch King agreed to help the Bhuyans and asked Gābharu-Khā to lead a small contingent of soldiers for their rescue. The commander immediately proceeded eastward and stationed at Biswanathghāt with the soldiers. Knowing that, Śankaradeva and other Bhuyans hurried to leave Dhuwahata. They arranged boats and loaded with their belongings. Just before the group started the journey, Mādhavadeva had arrived with the sad news of Hari's execution. The group sailed safely to the Koch Kingdom with military escort of Gābharu-Khā.54

#### Final Settlement at Pātbāusī:

Sankaradeva and his associates followed the river route of the Brahmaputra via Khāgarikata, Kaliyābar, Śingari, Bardovā, Darrang, Kuwar grām, Banbhāg and Barbhāg. 55 The group reached Kapalābari in Koch Kingdom in later part of 1540 A.D. after twenty days of boat-journey. 56 With the advice of Gābharu-Khā, the group settled beside a lake. But the group had to move to Cunporā after six months as the water at Kapalābari was very alkaline which took lives of several members including Mādhavdeva's mother Manoramā. At Cunporā, Śańkaradeva meet Bhavānanda, a rich merchant who latter popularly known as Thakur Ata. Cunpora was crowded with many potters, cobblers, gold-smiths and lime-bakers. They all made a lot of noise.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Rāmānanda, cited by Neog, 2008, p.112
 <sup>55</sup> GC, pp.490-493

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Borkakoti, 2007, p.30

The devotees got disturbance during prayers. Besides, the atmosphere was polluted with foul smells from lime baking. Śańkaradeva was forced to leave Cunporā after staying six months.<sup>57</sup> They now settled at Kumārkuchi. But unfortunately Śańkaradeva's second daughter Rukminī died at this place. Also the noise resulted from the works of blacksmiths and the smell of unsold stale fishes coming from nearby village of fishermen made the place unhealthy. So after staying one year, Śańkaradeva and his associates left Kamārkuci and finally settled at Pāṭbāusī in October-November, 1542 A.D. Pāṭbāusī was called *Baral-jār* as the place covered with deep forest with lots of wasps.<sup>58</sup>

## Cilārāi become Śańkaradeva's Disciple:

After a long time, at Pāṭbāusī Śaṅkaradeva could live in peace. He could now concentrate in his religious and literary works fully. Many dedicated personalities from different castes embraced *EŚHND* here. The notables among the newcomers were— Cakrapāni Dwija, Sarvabhauma Bhattācārya, Dāmodardeva and Harideva (all were Brahmins); Jayarāma (a Bhutia); Govinda (a Garo); Madhāi (a Jaintia) and Murārī (a Koch) etc. When Śaṅkaradeva came to know that Koch king Naranārāyaṇa along with brother Cilārāi was in Barnagar, he visited king's place in the early part of 1543 A.D.<sup>59</sup> Śaṅkaradeva's scholarly achievements were unknown to the king till then so their meeting was very brief and only a formal one. Two years later, Cilārāi married Rāmrāi's (Śaṅkaradeva's cousin) daughter Kamalapriyā, and was attracted towards

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> KGC, pp.76-77

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Ibid, p.78

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> PKGC, p.265

Śańkaradeva after listening to a Bargīta sang by his wife. 60 He became a disciple of Śańkaradeva in the year 1548 A.D. Cilārāi offered royal patronage to Śańkaradeva and the latter took the responsibility as supervising officer of one hundred weaver families at Tāntikuchi.

# **Second Pilgrimage:**

Śankaradeva set for the second pilgrimage in 1550 A.D. Hearing the episodes of different activities of Lord Kṛṣṇa in places like Gokul, Vrindavan, Mathura, etc. from Śańkaradeva in the regular discussion after prayer, his disciples were eager to see the places by their own eyes. Accordingly Sankaradeva had to arrange the trip. He asked the devotees to contribute the money according to their ability. The responsibility of few devotees who did not have money was shared by other devotees. 61 Besides, "Sankaradeva had another objective of the travel. He wanted to reunite with the learned scholars, saints and friends from his first pilgrimage". 62 Śańkaradeva started the journey with one hundred twenty devotees including Mādhavadeva, Rāmrāma, Rāmdāsa, Rāmrāi, Śrīrāma Ātā, Ṭhākur Ātā etc. in the month of Aghona (November- December). But Ṭhākur Ātā had to return after one day's journey, as his uncle Chātan Ātai was unable to walk further. Mādhavadeva had taken the entire responsibility of logistics. The group visited Kashi, Gaya and Jagannath. Śańkaradeva and his companions wished to proceed further towards Vrindavan, but Mādhavadeva did not agree to accompany them. Mādhavadeva did this because Śańkaradeva's wife Kālindī requested him to restrain her husband from

<sup>60</sup> KGC, p.85

<sup>61</sup> KGC, pp.114-115 62 Goswami, 2014, p.130

visiting Vrindavan. She feared that Śańkaradeva would not come back home after visiting that place. During the tour Śańkaradeva also visited the place of Kabir. They met Kabir's grand-daughter there. The pilgrimage group finally returned to Pāṭbāusī in April 1551 A.D. after spending six months.<sup>63</sup>

# **Allegation by Brahmin Priests:**

Sankaradeva resumed his usual religious and literary works. But, this time, he started to devote few hours every day to train up a selected group of disciples who would be torch-bearers of his philosophy and religious order after him. He sat with them to discuss deep philosophical issues.<sup>64</sup> Śankaradeva composed many literary works during this period. The most remarkable was the *Bhakti-Ratnākara* in Sanskrit. At the same time he rendered many Sanskrit scriptures into Assamese verse to make them easily intelligible to common masses. The people joined Sankaradeva's sect in large numbers, attracted by simple but deep meaningful compositions and their sweet melody. The growing popularity of Śańkaradeva created panic among the Brahmans priests. They feared of losing their employment if people at all give up worship of deities. They started to look for opportunity. Ultimately they got an opportunity when the statue of Lord Visnu, built by Karola, was thrown away into the Dhanukhanda lake by devotees during *Holi* festival in 1552 A.D. 65 They immediately lodged a complaint to the Koch king Naranārāyaṇa with the allegation that Śankaradeva had brought disaster to the state by advising the people not to perform the age old Vedic rituals like animal sacrifices, yanga etc. He also showed dishonor to God's icon. For their support,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Borkakoti, 2015, p.30-31

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Ibid, p.31

<sup>65</sup> ibid: 32

they also presented before the king a skeleton of an icon of Kali collected from a pond near the capital. The king got angry on hearing the complaints and ordered Śańkaradeva's arrest. However, Cilārāi worked promptly, sent eight of his own soldiers ordering them to travel non-stop and reached Pāṭbāusī before the king's policemen (*Garmali*) could reach. After reaching Pāṭbāusī, Cilārāi's soldiers pretended to arrest Śańkaradeva and brought him safely to the palace of Cilārāi where he was received with great honour. Being unable to find out Śańkaradeva, the soldiers of Naranārāyaṇa arrested Gakula Cānda and Thākura Āṭā and brought them to the capital. When Naranārāyaṇa got the information that Cilārāi had hidden Śańkaradeva in his palace, he ordered the latter to produce the accused in front of him. But Cilārāi refused to do so. This deteriorated the relationship between the brothers, and even Naranārāyaṇa got ready to attack Cilārāi. Knowing this tense situation Śańkaradeva willingly offered to go to the royal court. Cilārāi then took the precaution of taking the horse of Naranārāyaṇa and one officer (*Tāmulī*) as hostage so that the king could not do any harm to Śańkaradeva.

#### Śańkaradeva at Naranārāyaṇa's Court:

Śańkaradeva came to the royal court with none for his help except his personal attendant Parāmānanda. When he entered into the court, the king was sitting in the throne placed on a platform over seven steps. The king asked him to come over there. While began to climb those steps, Śańkaradeva started singing the Sanskrit *Totaya*, *madhu dānava dāraṇa*..., a prayer to Lord *Viṣṇu*. Impressed by the personality and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> KGC, p.144

learning, the king at once ordered the attendants to show due honour and arrange a seat for Śańkaradeva near him. As he sat down, he sang a Bargīta, nārāyana kāhe bhakati karo terā. After that he also sang the rāja-bhaṭimā (laudatory verse) jaya jaya malla nrpati rasabana. 67 Śańkaradeva composed the Totaya and the rāja-bhatimā extempore. The king was mesmerized by Śańkaradeva's erudite scholarly knowledge and forgot that the former was an accused person. He politely asked Śańkaradeva what he could do for him. Sankaradeva requested the king to verify the allegations against him. The king brought up the allegations made by the Brahman priests. Śańkaradeva then clarified that since he preached *Eka Śarana*, so there is no question of worshiping other deities or performing sacrifices. He again explained that his path of devotion was very much within the Vedic tradition. The king was firmly convinced about the sublimity of the faith Sankaradeva propounded. He showed high honour to Śankaradeva and requested him to visit his court every day. After few days, Sankaradeva requested Cilārāi to arrange a separate accommodation for him as he did not like to disturb anybody by staying too long as guest. Then Cilārāi selected a place at Bhelā on the bank of river Torosha and built a cottage. Śańkaradeva stayed there with his eldest son Rāmānanda (who was working as accountant in Cilārāi's office), and Parāmānanda. From Bhelā, Śankaradeva used to visit the royal court once daily. "The monarch and the preacher became friends at the very first meeting, and they continued to be friends till the last. With both the king's and the commander-in-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> GCK, p.278

chief's support at his back, Śańkaradeva and his followers felt completely secure in their movements, and the Vaishnava Order thrived vigorously."<sup>68</sup>

#### Composition of Guṇamālā:

After the trial, Sankaradeva was a regular visitor to the court of Naranārāyaṇa. Many scholarly debates were taken place. Śankaradeva not only wins debates with local scholars but also with some foreign ones. Naranārāyana was highly impressed by his vast knowledge as well as his emphasis on neat and cleanliness. <sup>69</sup> One day the king asked the scholars present there if it was possible to describe the summary of the Bhāgavata in a small booklet which could read in one sitting. The Brahmins termed it an impossible task akin to putting an elephant inside a small earthen vessel. When the king asked Śankaradeva, he begged one day's time and departed from the court. From his earlier unfinished composition Guna Cintāmoni, he improvised overnight an abridged version of the *Bhāgavata* and named it *Gunamālā*. After that he painted an elephant's a picture over *Gunamālā* and placed it inside an earthen vessel. Next day he presented the same to the king. Seeing the work the king was delighted and requested Sankaradeva to read it out. "The whole work, composed in 378 nimble six-syllable rhyming quadruplets, is highly conducive to memory."71 Lakshminath Bezbaroa, depending on the Bardowā-Carit, holds that Śańkaradeva had composed five sections out of the six sections much earlier. At Gangmau, he presented those sections to one

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Neog, 2008, p.119

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> KGC, pp.147-153

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Borkakoti, 2007, p.45

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Rajkhowa, 2012, p.138

Satananda or Devidasa.<sup>72</sup> After staying three months at Koch Kingdom's capital (Koch Behar), Śańkaradeva came back to Pāṭbāusī.<sup>73</sup>

#### Making of *Vṛndāvanī –Vastra*:

Sankaradeva had to visit Koch Behar every year as he was required to deposit to the royal treasury annually the tax collected in the form of cloth or thread from the weavers of Tāntikuchi. During his second visit to Koch Behar in the early part of 1554 A.D., one day he was narrating to Naranārāyaṇa the fun-filled childhood activities of Lord Krishna in Vrindavan. The king was overwhelmed and asked Śańkaradeva if there were any way to visually experience those activities. Śańkaradeva replied that it could be done through weaving, but it would be a costly affair. The king ensured royal support of providing the required threads, manpower as well as bearing other expenses. Accordingly, Sankaradeva returned to Pātbāusī, arranged a meeting with the weavers of Tantikuchi to discuss about the royal project. Twelve master weavers (Maral) under the headship of Gopal were selected for the project. 74 Śańkaradeva prepared the design to be woven, chose threads of different colours (white, black, red, yellow, blue, grey and green) to be used. One hundred eighty feet long threads were prepared. Sankaradeva personally supervised the weaving by visiting Tantikuchi everyday from Pāṭbāusī on boat. Each day six inches (eka begeta) of the cloth were woven. On a particular day due to Śankaradeva's illness, his chief disciple Mādhavadeva supervised the work and was able to weave slightly more than his master. The weavers depicted, as designed by Śańkaradeva, the childhood activities of

7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Ibid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> GC, p.715

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> PKGC, p.164

Kṛṣṇa in Vrindavan from his birth to the killing of Kaṁsa. From its theme, the cloth came to be known as *Vṛndāvanī-Vastra*. The weaving of the cloth was completed after six months. The most popular belief about the length and breadth of it is 120 x 60 feet. But 'While completed the length of the cloth was 90 feet and breath was 4.5 feet. The people of nearby villages were very curious about the cloth and persistently requested Śaṅkaradeva to show them. He arranged one frame made of bamboo in open space to exhibit the cloth. Then Śaṅkaradeva brought the *Vṛndāvanī-Vastra* to Koch Behar. At first he exhibited the cloth in Cilārāi's palace and finally it was presented to king Naranārāyaṇa in the beginning of 1555 A.D. Overwhelmed with the stunning work, the king ordered to construct a new and sophisticated accommodation for Śaṅkaradeva at Bhelā.

#### Mādhavadeva Nominated as Successor:

As Śańkaradeva was approaching towards the end of his life, he started to pass on his responsibilities gradually to Mādhavadeva. He decided to declare formally Mādhavadeva as the chief of *EŚHND* so that in future no leadership conflict aroused. He summoned all his disciples to Pāṭbāusī. Before the gathering, Śańkaradeva declared Mādhavadeva as the overall head of the cult. He assigned the responsibility to Mādhavadeva by putting a garland of Basil leaves on his head. He instructed Mādhavadeva to look after the disciples properly. He also advised the disciples to abide by Mādhavadeva just like they obey him. After that, in the month of February-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> GC, p.720

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> PKGC, p.587

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Borkakoti, 2015, p.42

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> GC, p.757; PKGC, p.595

March, 1568 A.D., Śańkaradeva left Pāṭbāusī for Koch Behar for good with the objective of spending the remaining days of his life in solitude at his residence in Bhelā. In this journey, by boat, he halted for a night at Mādhavadeva's house at Ganakkuchi, had intimate talk for the last time and involved in deep discussion about future strategy of the movement. He advised Mādhavadeva not to build big buildings for religious purposes and not to resort expensive rituals or festivals (*bara ghar naṭuvāka tumi nakaribā*). Śańkaradeva was afraid that if those were done their religion would go away from the common people which would ultimately result unhappiness. In that discussion he also advised Mādhavadeva to author a book by compiling the beautiful prayers already composed by the later and authoring some other new ones that would be suitable to the Vaishnava faith. Sańkaradeva instructed that the book should be philosophically rich as well as sweet and melodious. It should be like a palm fruit with flesh is soft and sweet but the seed is hard. By obeying the order Mādhavadeva completed the book after a few years which latter came to be known as *Nāmaghoṣā* or *Hāzārighoṣā*.

#### Rāma Bijaya: the Last Composition:

After reaching Koch Behar, Śańkaradeva visited royal court at the wish of Naranārāyaṇa and Cilārāi and involved with them in spiritual and intellectual discussions. One day the king requested Śańkaradeva to initiate him in *EŚHND*. But Śańkaradeva refused to do so as the king was not able to give up his superiority ego. It would be impossible to Naranārāyaṇa to follow *dāsya bhāva* (attitude of total

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Borkakoti, 2015, p.42

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> GC, p.764

<sup>81</sup> KGC, p.172

surrender) as required in  $E\acute{S}HND$ . Śańkaradeva was afraid that the king would not be able to treat fellow disciples as a part of God after initiation, which would mar the principle of equality in future. Few days later Cilārāi appealed Śańkaradeva to compose a play based on a story of  $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yaṇ a$  and assured to sponsor the enactment of the play. Accordingly Śańkaradeva completed the writing of the play, his last literary work  $\acute{S}r\bar{\imath}$   $R\bar{a}ma$  Bijaya. It was staged and directed by Śańkaradeva himself. The entire task took almost three months. At the last part of the play he mentioned the time of composition of it in Sanskrit as bindurandhra veda candraśāke which means 1490 śaka or the year 1568 A.D. Respectively.

#### Death of Śańkaradeva:

The death of Śańkaradeva came after four months from his arrival at Koch Behar in the year 1568 A.D. Most of the hagiographers accepted that his dead caused due to a painful boil (*biṣa phoharā*). Thus, Śańkaradeva's eventful life ended "on the second day of the lunar month on the bright side during *Bhāda* (August-September) month of 1568 A.D." His body was set to fire on the bank of the river Torosha.

# 3.2: Śańkaradeva and the Contemporary Economic Background

During the time of Śaṅkaradeva the economy of Assam-Kamrup-Koch Behar was not in an organized shape. The economy was mainly agro-based, as it is at present.

<sup>82</sup> PKGC, p.614

<sup>83</sup> Ibid, p.618

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> Borkakoti, 2015, p.44

#### 3.2.1: Profession

Agriculture was the main occupation of the people in those days, but a small number of them also engaged in some other professions. In his *Ureṣā-Barṇana* of *Kīrttana*, Śaṅkaradeva mentioned thirty-four professional castes like *Telī* (oilmen),  $m\bar{a}l\bar{i}$  (flower men),  $\dot{s}il\bar{a}kut\bar{i}$  (stone breakers),  $baṇiy\bar{a}$  (goldsmith),  $s\bar{u}t\bar{a}ra$  (carpenters),  $carmmak\bar{a}ra$  (cobbler),  $cad\bar{a}la$  (outcastes),  $kumbhak\bar{a}r$  (potter),  $kam\bar{a}ra$  (blacksmith),  $citrak\bar{a}ra$  (painters),  $kams\bar{a}ra$  (bell-metal workers),  $kund\bar{a}ra$  (watermen), baidya (medicinemen),  $nata-bh\bar{a}ta$  (actor),  $narttak\bar{i}$  (dancers),  $g\bar{a}yana$  (singers), bipra (priest) etc.

yateka bṛttiyā māne lagate laḍila telī mālī śilākuṭī baṇiyā sūtāra carmmakāra caḍāla kamāra kumbhakār citrakāra kamsāra kundāra baidya yata aneka kāyastha yāia rājāra lagata naṭa bhāṭa narttakī gāyana aparjyapta bipragana nṛpatira lagata calanta pṛthivīta yateka bṛttiyāgana āche coutriś jātio yāya nṛpatira pāche<sup>85</sup>

[Professional people began to run with them so much: Oilmen, gardeners, sculptors, traders, carpenters, cobblers: Low castes, blacksmiths, potters were running as workers: With them were artists, bell-metal workers, turners, physicians: The king was also accompanied by many writers: There were many dancers, panegyrists, dancing girls, singers: Priests were accompanying the king ahead of others: Men, belonging to different trades, that are on earth: And people of thirty-four castes accompanied king's march. <sup>86</sup>]

Different professions of those days were recorded in the KGC<sup>87</sup>, e.g, *kamāra*, *nāpita* (barbar), *dokānī* (shop keepers), *baidya-jogī* (snake charmer), *baidya* (physician), *guvāla* (animal keeper), *yugī* (silk worm rearer), *mudai* (businessmen), *hālovā* (ploughman), *caṇḍāla*, *camāra*, *kumāra* (potter), *hīrā*, *mukhi* (lime maker by

<sup>85</sup> Kīrt., vss. 2054-2056

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> Saikia, P. (2005). Śrīmanta Śankaradevara's The Kīrttana-Ghosā. pp.987-988

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> KGC, pp. 8, 14, 17, 34, 41, 44, 50, 59, 75, 76, 100, 96, 92, 158, 160, 161, 232, 238, 259, 287

burning shells),  $b\bar{a}dhai$  (carpenter),  $khari\bar{a}$  (who sells woods for cooking),  $dhob\bar{a}$  (washer-men), darjji (tailor),  $baniy\bar{a}$ , tanti (weaver), byadha (person who capture wild animal and birds for selling to earn livelihood),  $j\bar{a}lov\bar{a}$  (fishermen), jilai (artisan who made bamboo products),  $pah\bar{a}ri$  (hawkers), teli (oil-crashers) etc. Similarly, those who were employed by the kings have different designations—barphukana, ujira,  $bar\bar{a}$ ,  $baruv\bar{a}$ ,  $kakat\bar{i}$ ,  $bhar\bar{a}r\bar{i}$   $baruv\bar{a}$ ,  $caudh\bar{a}r\bar{i}$ , garmani,  $m\bar{a}ji$ , kara  $tol\bar{a}$   $biṣay\bar{a}$ ,  $l\bar{a}hana$   $baruv\bar{a}$ ,  $bargoh\bar{a}i$ ,  $cip\bar{a}h\bar{i}$ ,  $c\bar{a}kara$ , laskara,  $c\bar{a}kar\bar{i}$ ,  $piyad\bar{a}$ ,  $dhopdhar\bar{a}$ , garmali,  $mahal\bar{i}y\bar{a}$ , nata- $bh\bar{a}ta$ ,  $k\bar{a}ji$ , dalai,  $majind\bar{a}ra$ ,  $patov\bar{a}r\bar{i}$ ,  $men\bar{a}$ ,  $th\bar{a}kuriy\bar{a}$ ,  $kh\bar{a}taniy\bar{a}ra$ ,  $raj\bar{a}khov\bar{a}$ . Few people were also involved in illegal activities like—cora,  $d\bar{a}ku$ , beja,  $oj\bar{a}$ , macala,  $beśy\bar{a}$  (prostitution) etc.

All the people were directly or indirectly connected with agriculture. In this context Gait said, "The chief pursuit of the people was, of course, agriculture. Generally, the products of their fields were sufficient enough to meet requirements of individuals and the state. Agriculture was looked upon as a noble profession, and every Assamese, except the Brahmanas knew how to plough." Even, the people who live in towns were involved in cultivation and sustained on the products of their own agriculture. The cultivators and labourers in rural areas worked mostly in the paddy fields. Different professional groups lived in the same village. "They were divided in communities on the basis of their occupation and were accorded respective position in the society". 89 Professions were inheritably passed from one generation to other.

Many people thus made their living by the traditional or inherited professions of their castes. Mādhavadeva as a Kāyastha youth, got his training in the writer's profession (kāyasthikā or kāythali vidyā, which included book-keeping and accounts) beside his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> Gait, E. (2006). A History Of Assam. pp. 269-270

<sup>89</sup> Roychoudhury, 1985, p.17

67

education in grammer and poetry. Śańkara's son, Rāmānanda, also was trained by one Cakrapāni Majumdār on the same lines and was for a considerable time in the employ of Cilārāya. 90

Some people were also engaged in occupations like rearing of goats, raising cash crops (mustard, betel-vine and areca nut etc.) and sugar manufacturing. Lending of money was growing slowly as a profitable occupation. While some other people earned their livelihood through astrology (*daivajna* or *gaṇaka*) and confection (*sālai*). The people of *Sonowals* community were engaged in extracting gold from the sands of the Brahmaputra and Subansiri. Few people engaged in Ivory works. Some people were directly linked to State like the *Kherkatiyā* (gatherer of thatching grass).

#### 3.2.2: Consumption Pattern

In the time of Śańkaradeva, the food habit of the people of the region was non-vegetarian as it is today. "In the 'Yogini Tantra' it was said that one should not give up non-vegetarian meal in Kamrupa...ducks, pigeons, tortoise and pigs are etable; one who gives up these (items of food), he is sure to suffer from many distresses". 92

The people took rice as the main food and *jalpāna* as refreshment. Generally four meals were taken per day. Rice as principal meal was taken at noon and evening while they took refreshment at morning and afternoon. The people usually used two kinds of rices e.g. *ukhuā cāula* (parboiled) and *ārai cāula* (non parboiled) to prepare their foods. *Komala cāula* (soft rice) made from a special variety of rice *cakuādhāna*, was used as *jalpāna*. Gait also writes, "The staple food of the country was, as it is

<sup>90</sup> Neog, 2008, p.77

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> Gait, 2006, p.272

<sup>92</sup> Rajguru, S. (1966). Medieval Assamese Society. p.247

<sup>93</sup> Borah, B. (1993). Society in Medieval Assam with special reference to Women. p.105-106

today, rice, pulses and vegetables. Meat and fish, specially the latter comprised common articles of food. It is curious to note that in Assam unlike the other provinces of India, the Brahmanas and the Vaishnavas both eat meat and fish without any social bar or comment."<sup>94</sup> There are references in the hagiographies about Śańkaradeva and Mādhavadeva's eating of both meat and fish. Śańkaradeva offered the anniversary feast of his father with the meat of deer while he was at the *tol* of Mahendra Kandali. <sup>95</sup> Another reference showed that he was given meat of deer at the court of Naranārāyaṇa. Similarly, Mādhavadeva had taken the meat of goat to regain physical fitness after suffering from disease at his early days of life. <sup>96</sup>

In KGC<sup>97</sup>, there were mentions of various food items— rice and *cirā*; milk, curd, dal, sugar, different spices, ghee, honey; refreshments— *ākai*, *pāyasa*, *panasa*, *curā*, *ghola*, *khīra*, *khīrisā*; *lavanu*, *paramānna*, *pheṇī*, *māṇḍā*, *manoharā*, *puri*, *luci*, *jelpi*, *modaka*, *jarā*, *purā*, *kalā*, *pithā*, *sāndaha*, *gura*, *taila*, *lona*; fish— *śala*, *bhāṅgana*, *bariyālā*, *kāvai*, *darika*, *rau*, *māgura*, *movā*, *baṭiyā*, *kātala*, *garai*, *kurhi*, *āri* and tortoise; vegetables— *śāka*, *kacu*, *dhekiyā*, *auṭeṇgā*, *beṭgāja*, *kalāḍila*, *kākirala*, *tiyaha*, *cirāla*, *beṅgenā*, *marica*, *lāi*, *laphā*, *lāu*; fruits like *āma*, *katḥāla*, *teṅgā jarā*, *leteku*, *panivala*, *kala*, *kuhiyāra*, coconut etc. Descriptions about various fish curries were found in the same reference like *śala* with *mala*, *kāvai* with *pāleṅg*, *darika* with brinjal, *rou* with *ou*, *māgura* with *cukā*, *movā* with *dhekiyā* and *baṭiyā* with *bābari*. Roychoudhury, writes "Śaṅkaradeva has mentioned different objects of food like - īkṣhu. cūḍā. ākhai. daohi. dugdha, sandeśa. piṭhā. paramānna. cīnī. laddu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> Gait, 2006, p.263

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>95</sup> KGC, p.21; GCK, p.177

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> Ibid, pp.50, 201

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> KGC, pp. 129, 12, 295, 62, 97, 327, 482, 107

etc... The use of arecanut after food is mentioned by Śańkaradeva at many places. It indicates a prevalent practice in Assam."98

The people of Assam were accustomed with curries of different tastes. "With their main meals at noon and in the evening the Assamese people used to take various curries, such as acrid, acid, slightly seasoned with alkali or curry prepared with fish or meat or green leaves and other vegetables fried in mustard oil. They also used to take vegetables and fish burnt in fire. Fish and meat are sometimes taken by roasting on a spit". Po prepare curry pulses, such as māṭi, magu, macura, kalāi etc. were used. The spices used by the people were ginger, garlic, pepper, black pepper, tejpāt, dhaniyā, jirā, mithi, gandha, gantḥiyana, panaru, yanī, kāljirā, etc. "Salt is very dear and difficult to procure. It is found in the skirts of certain hills, but is very bitter and pungent." Due to scarcity of salt the people prepared khāraṇī as its substitution from the ashes by burning dried banana barks. Kharicā prepared from bamboo shoots used to make fish curry. Another two commonly used foods were khāroli (prepared from pounded mustard seeds with alkaline solutions) and pachalā (curry of young banana tree or seeding). 101

There was also the reference of the tradition of having  $sur\bar{a}$  (rice bear) among the tribal people, particularly the  $Kach\bar{a}ries$ .

<sup>98</sup> Roychoudhury, 1985, pp.200-201

<sup>99</sup> Rajguru, 1966, p.249

<sup>100</sup> Shihabuddin, cited by Gait, 2006, 146

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> Borah, 1993, pp.106-107

#### 3.2.3: Production Pattern

Due to very limited industrialization in those days, agriculture was the main mode of production in Assam. Qadri states that "though essentially feudal, the mode of production in Assam is very different from elsewhere in India in scale and in quality of produce. The production forces are different..." Due to lack of demand the local industries and crafts were remaining under-developed. The demand was confined to a certain level of local consumption. Technology remained low as there was no incentive of increased demand. The economy was subsistence in nature. All efforts were made to produce goods enough only for local consumption. <sup>103</sup>

During Śańkaradeva's time the parts of the Brahmaputra valley under Āhom Kingdom were witnessing a transition from tribal economy to totalitarianism. The community ownership of land was shattering rapidly with the Āhom's victory over different tribes. <sup>104</sup> Gradually the ownership of land had transferred to the crown or the state. The king enjoyed the right over cultivated and waste lands as well as over forests, ferries, mines and other natural resources. The Āhom rulers classified lands into three categories— cultivated lands, waste lands and homestead lands. "Cultivated lands were of various classes or types— the foremost being rupit or land under permanent cultivation where Sali or wet rice was grown. Rupit lands were treated as the first class land because this class of land formed the major source of the state's total revenue collection." Another category of cultivated lands was called as *pharingați*. This type of lands was initially covered with jungle and hence lying

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> Qadri, F. A. (ed). (2006). Society and Economy in NE India. p.92

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> Borpujari, H. K. (1992). Comprehensive History of Assam. Vol. II. p.116

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> Borkakoti, 2015, p.92

<sup>105</sup> Gogoi, J. (2002). Agarian System of Medieval Assam. p.36

71

uncultivated. The king encouraged the subjects to bring such lands under permanent

cultivation. After clearing the jungle, the cultivators had grown seasonal or temporary

crops for several years so that the surface of the plot became plain to make it suitable

for Sali cultivation. The waste lands included forests, ponds and wet lands. In the third

category of land i.e. in homestead lands, the ownership passed inheritably. Homestead

lands included the housing plot, the area under flower garden and fruit or vegetable

garden as well as the bamboo groves. This was non-revenue paying lands and was

called *bāri/vasti*.

These were high lands and were suitable for gardening and dry crop cultivation like brinjal, and nursery bed for growing seedlings. Hence, some part of the bari lands were used for kalani (plantain garden), kathiyatali (nursery bed for paddy), bengenatali (brinjal garden) and sak or sakani bari (vegetable garden). Bari lands were known as arajami or arakata mati (ancestral land) meaning legally inherited lands to which the occupant had his full ownership and nobody, even the king, could reclaim

it.<sup>106</sup>

The subjects had to pay jāmin (revenue) in the form of either labour or cash to

the state for possession and cultivation of land. There was reference in the KGC that

from seven villages the Koch king Lakshinarayan got four to six hundred rupees as

jamin. 107 In the Ahom Kingdom, for rupit lands the cultivators had to pay revenue by

serving physical labour in the implementation of state projects or serving as soldier

(the system was known as pāika prathā). Those who were able to pay cash were

exempted from physical labour. In that case the cultivators were required to pay Rs. 2

per  $pur\bar{a}$  (one  $pur\bar{a}=4$  bigh $\bar{a}$ ). But in case of pharingati lands there were no option of

paying revenue in terms of labour. The people had to pay Rs. 1 per *purā* in cash. <sup>108</sup>

1.0

<sup>106</sup> Ibid, pp.36-37

<sup>107</sup> KGC, p.458

<sup>108</sup> Gogoi, 2002, pp.36-37

During the time of Sankaradeva, both tribal and non-tribal methods of cultivation were followed. Different tribes in the hilly regions were following shifting (jhuma) cultivation. The hoe was their main instrument of production. Plain tribes were also not accustomed with wet rice cultivation although they used wooden plough. Non-tribal method included wet land cultivation with plough. For pulling the ploughs both bullocks and buffalos were used. Only "a small group of people in the valley in earlier times, and the Bhuyans and the Āhoms in subsequent periods only had a developed agricultural tradition and were associated with high yielding transplanted varieties of rice cultivation." The Bhuyans even had the knowledge of building embankments. There are records in the KGC and other hagiographies about the building of embankments on the rivulets Tembuwani and Magurijan for protection of crops and houses. "The Ahoms brought with them the technique of growing transplanted rice on permanent wet lands, but this practice was mostly confined to the areas they ruled."110 Along with the wooden plough other implements used in cultivation in those days were jabakā (wooden rakes), dalimārī (mallets), mai (bamboo harrow), juwalī (yoke) and kāchī (sickles). To store the crops bamboo items like *dulī*, *mera*, *kharāhī*, *pāchī*, etc. were used.

Paddy was the major crop in medieval Assam. Mainly three types of rice were cultivated— $\dot{Sali}$ ,  $\bar{A}hu$  and  $B\bar{a}o$ . The first type, i.e,  $\dot{Sali}$  was a transplanted wet rice. First the seeds were sown in the months April-May and then transplantation of the seedlings ( $kath\bar{i}y\bar{a}$ ) started in June-July. Harvesting was done in winter. In case of  $\bar{A}hu$ ,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> Ibid, p.63

Barman, cited by Rajkhowa, J. P. (2012). Sankaradeva: His Life, Preachings & Practices. p.33

sowing was done in the spring and harvested in summer. "Sometimes Ahu was also transplanted when it was known as kharma, but its production did not match to that of the Sali." Bāo, a variety of long maturing rice, was cultivated in low lying lands by sowing broad-cast. Bāo is a floating rice crop which is elongated with the rise of water level. The varieties of paddy which were commonly found in the early Assamese literature are—mānikīmādhurī, mālbhog, jāhingā, bagītarā, cakowā, suwāgmaṇi, kharikā-jahā, sāgar śāli, kapau śāli, raṅgā śāli, māgurī etc. The KGC also references of several varieties of rice, e.g, bar lāhī, pharamā, phaphari, barā, jahā, bāo maṭhaṅgā, māiguṭiā. Some other popular varieties and sub-varieties are ahu, phapari, guni, nilaji, ahubari, pharma, lahi, khareka, jaha, gidapuri, bhabli, govind tulsi, sarujul, mathanga, dolkachu, barmathanga, parujahinga, chakhru, bokajahinga, kataridabua, phatkathabara, kangrabara, bar- sohagmoni, saru-sohagmoni, barsali, saru-sali, malchur, kalasali, kaldharm, gendheli-sali, barjuts etc." 113

The people of Assam cultivated numerous pulses in those days. The reference of pulses which are found in the chronicles and contemporary literature included mātimāha, magumāha, kalāmāha or khechari, arahara, macūra, buṭmāha, lecerāmāha, urahī etc. The KGC mentions the cultivation of dhāna (rice), kacu, kapāha (cotton), komorā, ālu (potato), sariyaha (mustard) etc. by the tribal people in the hilly areas 114. It also mentions about the cultivation of vegetable like kākiral, tiyaha, cirāla, beṅgenā, marica, lāi, laphā, lāu in the plain area. Cultivation of mustard seeds was extensive. People applied an indigenous method to extract oil from

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> Allen, cited by Gogoi, 2002, p.64

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> KGC, p.406

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup> Basu, cited by Borah, 1993, p.201

<sup>114</sup> KGC, pp. 36, 303, 321, 327

mustard. Mainly two varieties of mustard seeds were cultivated, namely, white and red. Śańkaradeva himself was associated with the cultivation of mustard near Jnagydhari Beel at Bhararidubi. Banana was cultivated in almost every household. It had different varieties like bhīma-āthiyā, bajariyā, manohara, purā, baratmani, mālbhoga, sondā, digjovā, gobindatulasi, bagitarā. 115 Sugarcane was cultivated everywhere in the region and people prepared molasses from its juice. Gogoi cited, "The Fathiya-i-Ibriya, mentions that there were three varieties of sugarcane in Assam— red, white and black. Mohammed Qazim says that the sugarcane of Assam excelled in softness and sweetness." 116 Sesame cultivation was also done in considerable amount. The people of Assam used sesame in various purposes— for preparing traditional cake  $(p\bar{t}th\bar{a})$  and other confectionaries, for preparing fish curry, in religious offerings as well as in medicines. Maize and indigo were also cultivated, but in limited extent.

In Kamrup, commercialization of agriculture took place to some extent. For instance, one of Śańkaradeva's disciple Haridāsa cultivated different vegetables for sale. He could save rupees sixty from the sale of chilies, brinjal, gourd, pumpkin etc. 117

#### 3.2.4: Crafts and Industries

It has been already mentioned that the local industries and crafts in Assam were remained under-developed due to low- technology and low demand. In spite of these adversities, some cottage industries flourished in Assam during medieval period. Some mentionable cottage industries of medieval Assam were silk and cotton, gold

115 Ibid, p.459

116 Gogoi, 2002, pp.71-72 117 Rajkhowa, 2012, p.291

washing, jewellery making, pottery, ivory works, wood carving, bamboo and cane works, iron works, boat making etc. Besides meeting the needs of the local people as well as of the state, those cottage industries produced surpluses to export. People were commonly associated with the industries like silk and cotton, bamboo and cane works etc. While some other industries were restricted to particular community. The state also extended direct patronage to certain crafts and industries.

Silk and cotton were the oldest indigenous industry of the region. Gait remarked,

Assam enjoyed a high reputation for producing silk of fine texture. The Muhammadan historians noticed that the silks of Assam were excellent and resembled those of China. Tavernier writes of Assam silk "produced on trees" and adds that "stuffs made of them were very brilliant." They manufactured three principal varieties of silk, called Pat Endi and Muga. The first variety is the finest and the cost -liest quality. Endy is of the coarsest quality and is generally used by the poor. The last variety, Muga, is a stouter and more durable fabric than the Pat, but coarser and less glossy. 118

There were professional weavers called  $T\bar{a}t\bar{t}$  in those days. They weaved cloth for money. They worked either independently or under *Marals* (Head of the  $T\bar{a}t\bar{t}$ ). Every Tātī had to pay annually a loom-tax to the state. Sankaradeva himself was an expert in textile as proved by his magnificent work called *Vṛndāvanī-Vastra*. He supervised the weavers in Bardowa as well as in Pāṭbāusī. Besides the professional weavers, weaving of cloth was done by woman in almost every household as a regular task. After fulfilling the requirements of cloth of the family members, some women could earn additional income. For instance, the wife of Haridasa, Rūkmiņī was able to accumulate rupees sixty from the spinning of yarns. 119

<sup>118</sup> Gait, 2006, p.271

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>119</sup> Raikhowa, 2012, p.291

In the sands of rivers like Subansiri and Brahmaputra gold was found in abundance. Gold was extracted from the sands by washing. The class of people who associated with gold mining was known as *Sonovāla*. Gold jewellery gradually picked up.

Bamboo and cane items were common. The KGC refers different bamboo items used for fishing – dingarā, pāuri, cepā, khokā, palo, juluki, jakāi, ghaṇi as well as utensils— pāci, kāuli, dalā, palā, kulā<sup>120</sup>. Dhāri (mat) made of bamboo was used for drying paddy, rice and vegetables while duli and mera were used to store paddy and rice.

The potters were making articles for domestic uses like caru (cooking vessels),  $mal\bar{a}$  (cups), tekeli (vessel), kalaha (big Jar),  $c\bar{a}ki$  (small vessels for burning sacred light) and khol (drum). On the basis of technique the potters were classified into two categories— $Kum\bar{a}ra$  and  $H\bar{v}r\bar{a}$ . The former used the wheels to make pottery while the latter made without the wheel. The potters usually decorated with fine paintings the articles made for the use of the royal family.

Iron works was a basic industry in this region. There existed a separate caste for iron works called  $kam\bar{a}ra$ . They produced different articles of domestic use as well as agricultural implements—  $d\bar{a}$  (knife),  $kat\bar{a}ri$  (small knife),  $k\bar{a}ci$  (sickle),  $kuth\bar{a}ra$  (axe),  $kod\bar{a}la$  (spade),  $ph\bar{a}la$  (tip of plough) etc. The kings engaged them in manufacturing of weapons as well.

Since, boat formed during that period the most convenient and quickest means of transport and communication, boat-making industry made considerable progress.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup> KGC, pp.419, 259

The common people as well as royal family used boat. Trade was also carried on boat. By collecting wood at free of cost from the forest the common masses made their own small boat. There was one community known as *Nāocaliā* employed by the kings for boat-building. Boat played important role in the wars. The Koch king used seven hundred boats in his naval force to attack Āhom Kingdom in 1562 A.D. Different types of boats were used by different classes of people. Name of some boats were found in the GCK—*pinica*, *mānchai*, *cerengāḍāri*, *kohrā*, *gamāri naukā*, *kondha nāo*, etc<sup>122</sup>.

# 3.2.5: Medium of Exchange

During those days very limited amount of monetization took place in the economy of Āhom Kingdom. Only in limited purposes money (*kari*) was used. In comparison to it barter system was widespread. Particularly in rural areas the people exchanged among themselves the ordinary commodities of daily use without going to the market. Coin was first introduced by king Suklenmung in 1543 A.D. In the words of Gait,

As was usually the case in India, the standard coin of the Āhoms weighed a tola (two-fifths of an ounce) or 96 ratis. The peculiarity of the Āhom coins lay in their shape. Instead of being circular, they were octagonal, in accordance with the sloka in the Yogini Tantra which describes the country of the Āhoms as having eight sides. The octagonal shaped coins also represented the eight states which were appended under the authority of the Āhom Sovereign. In other respects they bore a marked resemblance to the coins of the Koch Kings. The earliest Āhom coins bear a date equivalent to A. D. 1543 and were struck by Suklenmung Gargaya Raja in the fourth year of his reign." 123

<sup>121</sup> PKGC, p.579

<sup>123</sup> Gait, 2006, p.276

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>122</sup> GCK, pp.301, 303, 439, 444, 518

However, the picture of monetization was better in Koch Kingdom. People were accustomed with buying and selling through money. With higher circulation of money economic activities were also in high level. King Naranārāyaṇa established a Mint in the year 1555 A.D. for supplying coins in huge quantities so that economic activities propelled. The coins of the Koch kings, called 'Nārāyaṇi' after the second part of their names, were accepted for currency in the surrounding states of Asama, Nepāl, Bhuṭān and others. The Katha-guru-*Carit* refers in one place to a Nārāyaṇi (distorted into Nāreṅgi) half-rupee (ādhali)"

The Bhuyan territory was also monetized. They used money from mid fifteenth century. When Śańkaradeva was enrolled in Mahendra Kandali's *Tol* his grandmother Khersuti paid one rupee. Śańkaradeva himself once paid one rupee to his teacher for seeking leave of absence. <sup>126</sup>

There were different denomination of coins — ṭakā, ādhali, śikā, ānā, kaḍi etc.

A rupee (ṭakā, rūpa or rupiyā) was consisted of sixteen ānā or sixteen pona (one pona=80) kaḍi (cowrie) or one kāoun kaḍi. Half a rupee was known as āda-ṭakā or ādhali, a quarter rupee as śikā or mahā and half a quarter rupee as āda-mahā. The KGC also mentions different denominations like ātolā, mahā, āda-mahā, carāti, khāti, ṭakā.

With regard to the purchasing power of coins of both Āhom and Koch kings, Neog mentions in the introduction part of GCK as,

<sup>124</sup> PKGC, p.566

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>125</sup> Neog, 2008, p.79

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>126</sup> Borkakoti, 2015, p.96

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup> Neog, M. (1990). Aspects of Guru-caritra-kathā. in B. P. Chaliha (ed.), Journal of Śrīmanta Śańkaradeva Research Institute, Volume I. p.7

A gold mohar brought 120 thick and warm parhis. The price of a deer was a Nārāyaṇi half-rupee; that of a peacock a quarter rupee; a small tuni bird cost 5 poṇs of cowries, and a sheaf of edible fern was worth four arās of cowries, while a big āri fish was bought for four poṇs of cowrie shells. 128

A *tolā* (11.6 gm) of gold costs eight or nine rupees. <sup>129</sup> Rajguru also mentioned "From the chronicles it is learnt that the value of an earthen utensil called 'Charu' in Assamese was two cowries. In one of the Bargits of Mādhavadeva, it is found that the price of an earthen pot called 'Kalasi' was two cowries only." <sup>130</sup> The KGC refers the Bhutias sold two horses at two hundred rupees in Koch Kingdom. The same also refers that betel leaf was sold at two or four cowries in retail. The general price level was different in region wise depending on the availability of the commodities, their local demand and sometimes as per the prevailing situations like drought, famine and political instability. Generally, Kamrup witnessed higher price level than Āhom Kingdom due to higher population density, commercialization of products and repeated Mughal attacks. For instance, two full size *gāmocā* (napkin) had cost 8 *pons* (=640) cowries in Kamrup but the same was sold at 3 *pons* in the Āhom Kingdom. <sup>131</sup>

## 3.2.6: Savings and Credit

Saving habit among the people was low as majority of them were associated with subsistence economy where they produce only for consumption. Those who had surplus were saved in the form of gold. They dig hole in earth and put the money in it. 132

<sup>128</sup> Neog, M. (ed.). (2012). *Guru-Carita-Kathā*. p.138

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> Shihabuddin, cited by Gait, 2006, p.146

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>130</sup> Rajguru, 1966, p.387

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>131</sup> Neog, 2008, p.78

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>132</sup> KGC, p.337

People had taken credit both for consumption and business purposes. Mādhavadeva's elder brother had a loan of rupees sixty at the time of their father's demise. 133 In other reference it was found that one person took various commodities worth rupees 120 on credit for business from another merchant. Its accumulated principal and interest was calculated to be rupees five hundred after seven years. 134

#### 3.2.7: Trade and Commerce

In some limited products Assam was associated with external trade since very early times. Assam was famous for her textiles. Besides, valuable forest and mineral products constituted her trade. Those products were exported to Tibet, Bhutan and other places of then India. Gait by citing McCosh and Tabaquat-i-Nasiri referred that there were five roads connecting Sadiya and Tibet, and thirty five mountain passes (duvār) between Kamrup and Tibet via Bhutan. Horses were used to ferry goods. 135 However, water transport was widely used to perform internal trade. Products of trade were carried by boats through rivers with different parts of India. "Brahmaputra, with its tributaries, afforded the convenient routes. Mādhavadeva carried out his trade from Nārāyanpur and Gadgāo in the east to Bāndukā in the west. Bhavānanda pushed his trade in the Kāmrūpa, Asama, Gāro and Bangāl countries". 136

Trade was carried by different classes of merchants (sadāgara, sāud, mudai) and small traders or hawkers (sajāi, samāi, kājuvā, pohāri). 137 The KGC mentions about three types of merchants (mudai) according to the value of business they dealt in

<sup>133</sup> Ibid, p.48

<sup>134</sup> Ibid, p.433

<sup>135</sup> Gait, 2006, p.273

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>136</sup> Neog, 2008, p.78

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>137</sup> GKC, p.139

The best category of them were called *uttama hājaniyā dokānī* who dealt in jeweler ( *hirā, ratna, rajata, subarṇa, bākhar, povāla, mānika*). The second (or medium) category was called *madhyama* who dealt in cloth and leather (*cāmara, kamalbānata, paṭuluhi, cāṭana simkhāpa, tāo, bālicari makhmala, pāṭciṭa*). The third (or lowest) category was known as *prākṛta* who sell salt and alkali. 138

There were some small grocery shops  $(dok\bar{a}na)$  in Koch Behar and in Kamrup. Those were permanent shops at the corner market place  $(tini\ cuki\bar{a})$ .

The petty shops which sold articles to the customers were known as 'Pohār'. The women of the fisherman community who used to go for selling or exchanging fish, lime and other daily necessities from house to house, were called 'Pohāris'. A male 'Pohāri' who was sometimes a retail seller, sold articles in retail or exchanged for other commodities by purchasing them from the Mudais or Bepāris or from other places. <sup>139</sup>

The KGC provided the names of various commodities which were bought and sold in the local markets—pulses, mustard, rice, molasses; fuel woods; *dhekiā śāka*; *lona* or salt, *povāla*, *bākhara*, *cita*, *tao*, *sindura*<sup>140</sup>. The GCK also refers that the retailers sold *cirā*, banana, milk, ghee, sugar, areca nut and betel vine etc. There is also reference of selling of cotton in Māghnovāghāta and selling of brinjal. He Even women could sell their hairs in the market of Cinatoli in Āhom Kingdom. The long distance trade took place mainly on the commodities like pulses, mustard, salt, betel vine and areca nut. The Āhom Kingdom was like a paradise for the merchants as they could procure valuable commodities at comparatively lower price. The state was famous for *sūbarṇa* (gold), *pāt-kapor* (silk cloth), *hasti-dāt* (ivory), *dāba-kaṭārī* (clasp

<sup>138</sup> KGC, p.386

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>139</sup> Rajguru, 1966, p.381

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>140</sup> KGC, pp. 46, 96, 240, 433

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup> GCK, pp. 394, 247, 312

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>142</sup> PKGC, p.179

knife), *jāti-maris* (chillies), *dildahiyā bar kāpor* (two-fold silk or cotton cloth), *jāpi* (sun and rain shed), black pepper, cotton. Those commodities were exported. Different tribes lived in the hilly areas had trade with the people of Brahmaputra valley. They mainly exchanged their products for rice and other materials.<sup>143</sup>

#### **3.2.8: Taxation**

Both direct and indirect taxes were imposed on the people by the kings. The officials and artisans were liable to pay income tax. The subjects had the option to pay the tax in the form of money, or by paying a part of their total product or by providing physical labour to the state. The people were required to pay tax for using land, forest, water resource and other mineral resources. They had to pay revenue (*jamin* or *kar*) for possession and enjoyment of land, *jalkar* for fishing, *beth* for forest use etc. There were *cakis/duvārs* (customs outposts on roads) and *phāṭs* (outposts on rivers) to collect tax from businessmen. Different types of customs and general trade duties like *dān* (sales tax), *hāṭ* (tax on buying or selling in the market), *phāṭ* were collected. To collect tax officers like *cakiyāl*, *phāṭovāl* or *phāṭkhovā*, *ṭekelā* etc. were employed. Other forms of taxes were

ghat (tax for using rivers for trade), phat (duty on marketing places), katal (payment made in lieu of personal service), pad ( fee to be paid by paik on getting an assignment), panchak (contributions), beth (to catch wild elephants from the forest for the state), begar ( forced labour obtained from paiks), chor (fine taken from thief), chinla (fine on paiks for adultery), dhumuchi (king's claim on the properties of those who died without heir), marecha (tax for acquiring land through a marriage according to vedic rites), ghamech ( tax to be paid to the king for marriage), danda (fine for crime), bandha (keeping in confinement and compelled to do work), khut ( tax on moveable articles), jalkar (tax for fishing), yavakshara (tax for production of gunpowder) etc. 145

. .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup> Neog, 2008, p.79

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>144</sup> Ibid, p.78

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>145</sup> Gogoi, 2002, pp.108-109

Even people were required to pay tax or a fee if they leave or enter the state.

Comparatively, the Āhom kings imposed a higher rate of taxes on the artisans.

The goldsmiths and the bell-metal workers had to pay Rs. 5 each per annum, the oilmen and the fishermen Rs. 3 and the silk worm rearers Rs. 2 each besides giving a portion of their produce as presents to their officers... All other artisans like blacksmiths, potters, weavers, carpenters, iron smelters, salt miners etc. had to pay taxes in terms of their produce or had to work for the king for about 3-4 months in a year in producing such items in which they were proficient. 146

The gold washers ( $Sonov\bar{a}l$ ) had to pay to annually one  $tol\bar{a}$  of gold per head

per year.147

3.2.9: Transportation

Water transport was the fastest means of transport and communication during the time of Śańkaradeva. Journey through water was also convenient. There were many references in the hagiographies about Śańkaradeva's boat journey. People of all classes had their own boats. Besides, professional boatmen were also there. Neog

The rivers provided the main long-distance public thoroughfares, along which plied different kinds of big and small boats with men and materials. The traders had their

own boats to carry their merchandise; they as well as other boat-pliers (māji) transported persons on payment of money (cukāni, bheron). The officers of State had

their own ships. 148

writes in the introduction part of GCK as

Both the Ahom and Koch kings gave emphasis on constructing roads. The

Āhom kings engaged the *pāiks* in large numbers in building roads. The Koch king

Naranārāyaṇa constructed an all weather useable road from Koch capital to

Narayanpur of present day Lakhimpur district covering a distance of 547 k.m. <sup>149</sup> On

<sup>146</sup> Ibid, pp.105-106

<sup>147</sup> Gait, p.272

<sup>148</sup> Neog, 2012, p.139

<sup>149</sup> PKGC, p.577

the roads the common people travel on foot, the kings or officials on elephant's or horse's back, the high class people of the society in palanquins ( $dol\bar{a}$ ,  $p\bar{\imath}r\bar{a}$ - $dol\bar{a}$ ) carried on men's shoulders. Bullock carts were not used. But there was one reference of a  $sany\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}$  scholar who came to debate with Śańkaradeva by riding on a bullock. The common masses had to depend on foot for travelling long. Even Śańkaradeva went on foot twice in the pilgrimage.

#### **3.2.10: Costume**

Since cotton and silk were produced abundantly in medieval period dresses of Assamese people consisted mainly of silk ( $mug\bar{a}$ ,  $p\bar{a}t$ ) and cotton fibers. The common masses usually wore dresses made of cotton. But on special occasion they used to wear silk dresses made from the ordinary variety of  $mug\bar{a}$ . The people from upper classes of the society generally wore cloths made from  $p\bar{a}t$  and  $mug\bar{a}$  silk when they came out of home. The best varieties of  $p\bar{a}t$  and  $mug\bar{a}$  ( $camp\bar{a}$ ,  $meja\bar{n}kari$ ) were meant for them. The women of sophisticated classes generally wore dresses consisting of three pieces— $mekhel\bar{a}$  (garment like a petticoat),  $rih\bar{a}$  (a long ornamented scarf made of silk which was wrapped round the body and waist) and  $cele\bar{n}g$  or  $c\bar{a}dar$  (long cloth) placed over the  $rih\bar{a}$ . Women of the lower classes wore only  $mekhel\bar{a}$  and  $c\bar{a}dar$ . While at home they used  $g\bar{a}moc\bar{a}$  (towel) as  $c\bar{a}dar$ . The tribal women preferred colorful dresses and used  $g\bar{a}moc\bar{a}$  to cover the head. Men used to wear bhuni or  $curi\bar{a}$  or  $curi\bar{a}$  or  $curi\bar{a}$  as the main cloth (worn round the waist down to the knee) and wrapped round the body a  $curi\bar{a}$  or  $curi\bar{a}$  or  $curi\bar{a}$  or  $curi\bar{a}$  or  $curi\bar{a}$  (a piece of wide cloth). They also put a  $curi\bar{a}$ 

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>150</sup> KGC, p.163

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>151</sup> Baruah, cited by Borah, 1993, p.107

shoulder. Shirts were rare in  $\bar{A}$ hom Kingdom while it was more common to the officials in Koch Kingdom. Koch kings appointed trailers who made  $dagl\bar{a}$  (jacket with gold work decoration). One could wear shoe only with the special permission from the king. <sup>152</sup>

Females worn various ornaments made of gold and silver on their necks, hands, ears and fingers. The KGC provided the information of some ornaments like *khāru, maṇi, biri, āṇuthi, kariyā, hāra.*<sup>153</sup>

#### **3.2.11: Education**

In the time of Śańkaradeva formal education was beyond accessible to the common masses. It was only the privilege of the royal family and priestly class. *Tols* or *chātraśāls* or *pāṭhsālā* played the most vital role in imparting education. Those were residential schools and operated mainly by Brahmana scholars with royal patronage. The names of some renowned *tols* of the region in medieval period could be found in the GCK. Examples of some such type of institutions were—Mahendra Kandali's *tol* at which Śańkaradeva studied, Rājendra Adhyāpaka's *tol* at Baṇḍukā where Mādhavadeva got education, Devadatta Adhyāpaka's *chātraśāl* at Sālkacā, Yādaindra Bhaṭṭācārya's *tol* at Cirhāṭī, Jaibar Adhyāpaka's *tol* at Pāṭaki at which Puruṣottama Ṭhākura (Śańkaradeva's grandson) studied etc. At that time Vārānasi, Haridwār, Navadwīpa, Nādiya-Śāntipura etc. were the centers of advanced learning which attracted students from this region. Koch king Naranārāyaṇa and his brother

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>152</sup> Ibid, p.108

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup> KGC, pp.348, 357

<sup>154</sup> GCK, pp.175, 199, 284, 365, 554

Cilārāi were educated at Vārānasi. Similarly, the Brahman scholars Bhāskar went to Navadwīpa and Kanthbhūṣaṇa left for Kāśī after they lost debate with Śaṅkaradeva.

After the students got enrolled, the teachers selected an auspicious day to formally start imparting education to the students. The guardians of the students generally offer one or two gold or silver coins, cloth and some daily necessities such as oil, rice, areca nut and beetle vine etc. to the teachers as a mark of respect and honour. Age limit was not a bar for the admission in those days. Śańkaradeva himself started formal education at the age of twelve. The medium of education was mainly Sanskrit. Subjects like vedas, epics, astronomy, philosophy, grammer, literature, yogā etc. were taught to the students. The knowledge of accountancy (kāithelī-bidyā) was also imparted in some schools. Mādhavadeva studied Kāithelī-bidyā at Rājendra Adhyāpaka's tol. 155 On the basis of academic achievements the students were conferred degrees like Ācārya, Kandali, Misra, Upādhvāya, Bharatī, Saraswatī, Bāgis, Kaviratna etc. For writing the sāci-pāt (paper prepared from the bark of sāci tree) and tulā-pāt (paper prepared from cotton by putting weight on it) were used. Durability of tulā-pāt was very less in comparison to sāci-pāt. The ink used for writing was called mahī. Usually a goose-quill or a piece of reed was used as a pen.

## **3.2.12: Slavery**

There prevailed a system of slavery (or bondage labour) in the medieval Assam. The slaves were required to render their services both in the household affairs as well as in the paddy fields of their masters. "They were bought or sold in the open

--

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>155</sup> Roychoudhury, 1985, p.18

markets, the price ranging from twenty rupees for an adult male of high caste to three rupees for a low caste girl." The slaves were known as bandhā, golāma, bahatā, lathariyā etc. In the Āhom Kingdom the higher officials had the right to keep a large number of slaves and retainers (bilātiyā) to work in their private estates. Again the rich persons who could afford enjoyed the facility to keep such slaves. In the Koch Kingdom, criminals were bartered for horses in the market attended by Bhutiyas. The disciples of Śankaradeva, Nārāyana Dāsa and Gokulacānda were bartered away for two horses by king Naranārāyana to Bhutiyas. 157 Default of loan repayment was the main reason behind slavery. Since majority of the population had to live with limited means they were compelled to take loan in different purposes. But those unable to repay the loan ultimately had to be a slave to the creditor. However, they could buy back their freedom when they were able to repay the money for which they were bonded. For instance, Balorāma Ātai of Topā became a bandhā (slave) after unable to repay rupees five. Latter he was rescued by the disciples of Śańkaradeva. 158 "Most of the slaves were of local origin and were products of the economic oppression of the well-to-do class particularly in Kamrup and imbalance distribution of lands between the actual tillers of the soil and the managerial class." Since the economically sound and politically powerful sections were the beneficiaries of slavery, the system itself got the encouragement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>156</sup> Gait, 2006, p.265

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>157</sup> KGC, p.141

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>158</sup> GCK, p.225

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>159</sup> Gogoi, 2002, p.128

## **3.2.13: Begging**

Begging was also existed in those days. They begged by going household to household. Even some physically able young people also involved in begging. Several disciples of Śańkaradeva and Mādhavadeva were previously engaged in begging. There are references in the KGC about some beggars who later became disciples, like Madhāi Ātai, Udār Ātai, Srīrām Ātā, Jaihari, Srīhari, etc.

#### 3.2.14: Famines and Hardships

The common people had to face different kinds of hardships in those days mainly because of scarcity of food items. Famines were frequent occurrences. The crop failures due to droughts and floods caused food scarcity which ultimately led to famines. Mādhavadeva, in his younger days had faced a severe famine when he was forced to beg along with his father after remaining without food for two days. 160 During such famines, it was very difficult to get anything even by begging the entire day. Similarly, once in the month of Jeth (April-May) the scarcity was so intense that it was difficult to procure goods even from the market by paying money. 161 There were references in the hagiographies that the effluent disciples and officials extended helping hands in famines. For instance, once Thākura Ātā voluntarily offered a bharāl (contingent) of rice to the bhakats. Again, in another instance of famine after Śańkaradeva's demise Mādhavadeva, being unable to feed his disciples, was forced to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>160</sup> KGC, p.44 <sup>161</sup> Ibid, p.367

appeal for help in the court of Koch Kingdom and accordingly each officials shared the responsibility of some bhakats. 162

# 3.2.15: Income Inequality

There prevailed great disparity in the distribution of income and wealth. Lands were concentrated in the hands of few classes after the Ahoms extended their Kingdom. The common masses suffered lack of means. On the contrary wealth accumulated in the hands of the officials (Barā, Bhūňā, Coudhury), priests and merchants. Some of the Bhuyans were such rich that they could bet rupees ten each in the sports of boating in the festival of Durgā Pūjā. There are also references in the KGC about several merchants who were very rich. One sadāgar lent rupees four lakh to a businessman. Areca nut merchant of Dhuwahata, Dhanāi Mudai had business worth lakhs of rupees. Ujir Bora and Māji, who provided shelter to Mādhavadeva in his younger days, were rich people. The former had the possession of 140 families as slave and other manual workers. 163 Similarly, Damodara Ātai had four hundred rupees at his disposal and spent rupees one every day for living.

# 3.3: The *Bhakti* Movement of Śańkaradeva

Sankaradeva, during his lifetime itself, was successful in building a solid foundation of neo-Vaishnavism in the entire area covering then Assam, Kamrup and Koch Behar. Although his Bhakti Movement was a part of Great Indian Bhakti Movement but it had certain peculiarities and characteristics which made it unique. He

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>162</sup> GCK, pp.411, 464 <sup>163</sup> KGC, pp.373, 189, 50, 39

emphasized on stern monotheism, abolition of idol worship, discarding expensive rituals, stress on *Nāma* and *Kīrttaṇa* etc. Basic characteristics of Śaṅkaradeva's *Bhakti* Movement are—

- i. Śańkaradeva propagating *Eka Śaraṇa Hari Nāma Dharma*. It means complete surrender to one and only God. In his order devotees submit themselves only to Lord Kṛṣṇa (i.e. Hari or Rāma or Viṣṇu or Nārāyana). Worships of other gods and goddesses are strictly prohibited.
- ii. Śańkaradeva propounded *Dāsya-Bhakti* or surrendering oneself to the service of God like a servant, out of the nine forms of *Bhakti* (devotion) accepted in the Vaishnavite order.
- iii. The motto of Śańkaradeva's faith is *eka deu, eka seu, eka bine nāhi keu* i.e, there is only one God and only one service, and there is no other God to serve.
- iv. According to Śańkaradeva *Bhakti* itself is the end. He said *Bhakti* (devotion) is more important than *Mukti* (salvation).
- v. He discarded costly rituals and pilgrimages. He argued costly rituals instead of satisfying the God satisfied the economic interest of the priestly class. Similarly, he held people could never find God through pilgrimage; rather it would waste their valuable time and energy.
- vi. He prescribed only on two types of devotion—Śravaṇa or hearing the name and attributes of God and Kīrttana or singing or chanting the glories of God. Thus, he propagated a faith which is very simple, inexpensive and painless so that it could be followed by any person at any time.

- vii. In the religious faith preached by Śańkaradeva devotees are given utmost preferences. It considers a living devotee of Lord Kṛṣṇa superior to lifeless idol (mūrttī).
- viii. The *śaraṇa* (initiation) practice of Śaṅkaradeva's faith is unique. When a person proselytes into *EŚHND* he has to prostrate and take solemn oaths before the scriptures composed by Śaṅkaradeva.
- ix. Idol worship was totally discarded by Śaṅkaradeva. So, instead of idols, a holy book (usually the *Bhāgavata* or the *Kīrttana*) is placed on the *manikuṭa* (a wooden pedestal) in the prayer halls (*Nāmghar*).
- x. Female deity is absent in Śańkaradeva's religious order. The dual-worship of Lakṣmī-Nārāyana, or of Rāma-Sitā or of Rādhā- Kṛṣṇa etc. which is associated with other types of Vaishnavism all over India is not accepted in *EŚHND*. Śańkaradeva did not show any interest in the character of Rādhā. Instead he willingly avoided mentioning the name of Rādhā in his extensive literary compositions.
- xi. Sankaradeva never supported an ascetic life or renunciation of household affairs. In fact, a householder's life was preferred to celibacy in his order. He only asked the people to chant the name of God while they work.
- xii. Śaṅkaradeva's *Bhakti* Movement was not a spiritual movement alone. It also became a remarkable socio-economic movement of the region. The movement did a lot for the welfare and emancipation of the socially and economically backward sections of the community.

xiii. Since, for propagation Śańkaradeva used the media of music, dance, drama, painting and literature extensively, his *Bhakti* Movement created a cultural renaissance. Due to his insistent efforts the Assamese literature and arts received a new lease of life.

# 3.4: Socio-Economic Background of Śańkaradeva's Bhakti Movement

The contemporary society of Śańkaradeva was consisted with different tribes and castes. The Āhom dominated the upper Assam while the Koch ruled the lower Assam. Other main tribes were the Kacāri, Mec, Gāro, Miri, Lāluṅg, Bhūṭīyā, Barāhī, Cuṭīyā, Morān etc. Similarly, the Brāhmaṇ, Kāyastha, Ganak, Baniā, Hīrā, Kalitā, Keot etc. were the major castes. Limited numbers of Muslim people were also there. Most of the tribes followed animism with their own rites and customs. Before Śańkaradeva started his reform, Saktism and Saivism were the dominant cults. A form of Tāntric Vaishnavism (Vasudevism) existed, i.e. Viṣṇu was worshiped with Tāntric rituals by some people. Besides a few minor cults like Nathism, crypto-Buddhism and the cult of Manasā (the snake goddess) were also there. Almost all the religious cults were practiced according to the Tāntric rites where liquor drinking, sacrifices of animals and free sexual unions were openly allowed. In Saivism offerings (upahāra) of ducks and pigeons, wine and cooked rice and sacrifices of buffaloes and swine, cocks and he-goats were made. Saktism was the worship of a goddess of many name and forms. The goddess was worshiped with sexual rites and sacrifice of

<sup>164</sup> Sarma, 2016, p.6

<sup>166</sup> Neog, 2008, p.80

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>165</sup> Gayan, A. (2014). Music for Mobilization: Evolution and Role of 'Bargeet' in the Bhakti Movement of Sankaradeva. p.45

animals or even of men in many cases. In such worships five Ma's (pañca-makāra) were indispensable. Those were—madya (wine), māmsa (meat), matsya (fish), mudrā (parched grain) and maithuna (sexual union). In Tāntric Vaishnavism, cow's milk, fish, venison, goats, porcupine and hare etc. were offered to Viṣṇu. Similarly, the Crypto-Buddhism also indispensably accepted the five Ma's and apprehended that the seekers of salvation should enjoy Prajñāpāramitā or perfect truth that resides in every woman. The practice of open drinking of liquor and excessive sexual union in the name of god and goddess's worship tainted the morality of the people. It degraded the position of women in the society. Women had lost the security and self respect due to the practice of the bhogī where a handsome youth was selected to be sacrificed in front of goddesses and was given immense freedom for one year in which he can enter any house and have sexual intercourse with any women he desired. In some temples virgin girls (Kumāri) were worshiped. But the custom gradually got polluted and the priest started to exploit the girls sexually. The status of those girls (known as Devadāsī), was degraded to prostitutes.

The distinct caste division allowed the Brahmins to enjoy upper hand in the society. To protect their vested interest they kept the religious scripture out of reach of the lower class. Some of the unscrupulous Brahmins interpreted the scriptures in their own way and motivated the illiterate masses to follow their way of religious practices. Several unnecessary rites and rituals were imposed only to protect their economic interest. By forced to follow those practices the poor helpless class had to lose

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>167</sup> Ibid, pp.83-88

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>168</sup> Gayan, 2014, p.46

everything in the name of satisfying the god and goddesses. 169 Under such situation there was hardly an atmosphere for intellectual and mental development. All these affected production and stalled economic development.

The religious practices of the Hindus were very expensive at that time and common people were forced to perform those rituals elaborately. Animals were sacrificed at large scale which had a damaging impact on agricultural productivities. Pilgrimages were also a costly and physically hazardous affair. The most expensive one was the rites of a death person. For instance Dāmodara, the elder brother of Mādhavadeva, could not gather courage to perform the last rites of his father despite having a saving of rupees thirty in cash. <sup>170</sup> To relieve people of such encumbrances, Śańkaradeva had launched his *Bhakti* Movement. Discarding the expensive rituals he started propagating EŚHND which emphasized only on Śravaṇa-Kīrttana. He repeatedly said the people that God could not be found through ostentatious activities. His mercy could be achieved through devotion. Salvation could be attained not through undertaking costly pilgrimage, but through simply chanting the name of Hari. "The costly and complicated rituals, he said, are the servants of rules while the chanting of Harinama is the master of rules. Chant the name of Hari in any way you like and you will be purified." 171 Sankaradeva had observed that the religious leaders lead a luxurious life by appropriating the surpluses generated by working class in the name of religion. To manifold their wealth they made enormous demands thereby making the lives of common people miserable. To reduce the exploitation by the

<sup>169</sup> Ibid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>170</sup> PKGC, p.110

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>171</sup> Barman, S. (2005). SANKARADEV-The Apostle of Equality, in B. C. Kalita and H. D. Mazumdar (ed.), Śrīmanta Śaṅkaradeva Bhārata Barise. pp.50-51

priestly class Śańkaradeva adopted very simple method of worship. His way of devotion was free from expensive rituals. There was no idol, no blood sacrifice, no priest and no donation or expensive offering. Instead of installing an idol of the Lord Viṣṇu or Kṛṣṇa, he introduced the system of placing a holy book on a wooden pedestal called *siṁhāsana* in the prayer house, or in the place where prayer or *Kīrttana* was held. Any devotee could offer services before this emblem. His caste was not a barrier. 172

# 3.5: Economic Aspects of Śańkaradeva's Bhakti Movement

Śańkaradeva believed that an ideal society is impossible if majority of the people live in economic misery. He felt that each individual must have adequate means for his physical well being. If he is physically fit then only he could be able to think of the society. Śańkaradeva believed that the religious part of an individual's life cannot exist in isolation. For a hungry man or a socially exploited person it is difficult to grow spiritually. So Śańkaradeva emphasized on a balanced growth of man, i.e. both economically and spiritually. Therefore, economic life of a person got importance in his religious order. The devotees of his order were asked to continue their economic activities so that they did not become burden on others. Attaining economic independence was another objective of his mission, so that the people could lead a meaningful life. Thus, Śańkaradeva did not deviate from the general stream of the *Bhakti* movement across the country, but made some necessary adjustments to suit the local situations.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>172</sup> Nath, R. M. (2013). Srimanta Śańkaradeva and the Vaishnava Movement in Assam. in Cultural Heritage of India. p.203

Similarly, to relieve the people from costly rituals he made his *EŚHND* very simple. His religious order is based on *Śravaṇa-Kīrttana* (listening and chanting the attributes of Lord Krishna). He only advised the people to chant or hear the name of God with devotion for salvation. He wrote in *Bhāgavata*, *Rukminī-Haraṇa*, *Bhakti-Pradīpa*, *Kīrttana* etc. like —

nāhi sikā ṭaṅkā byaya kāikleśa bhakatita eko nāi yena tena mate kṛṣṇaka smarile eteke mukuti pāi<sup>173</sup>

[There is neither expense of rupee nor physical hardship in *Bhakti*. Salvation is possible by remembering Lord Krishna in any way.]

śunibāko manoram śarīrato nāhi hāni artharo nāhike eko hāni nāś huibe yata bhai milibeka mahodaya ḍāki hari bolā save prāṇī<sup>174</sup>

[It is sweeter to listen the name of Hari: Not causes any physical labour or lose of money: Listening the name of Hari trims down the fear and rouses knowledge: So everybody should chant the name of Hari.]

yena phala pāve yata yajňa tulā dāne yena phala pāve koti koti tīrtha snāne parama sannāse sādhe yi gati maraņe pāvai sava phala eka nāma sumaraņe<sup>175</sup>

[Those benefits whose arouse from *Yajnya* and philantrophies, from visits to pilgrimage sites, from *Sanyas:* The same benefits could be enjoyed by one only by remembering the name of God.]

nāi śikā ṭaṅkā hāni kṛṣṇara sevāta śarīrato nāhi śrama jānibā ihāta<sup>176</sup>

[There is no expenditure of money in the service of Krishna. Neither physical hardship is associated here.]

nālāgei bhāgara gāve nāhi dhana hāni śunante santoṣa ito rāma nāma bāṇī<sup>177</sup>

<sup>175</sup> BP , vs. 162

<sup>176</sup> Bhāg., 10<sup>th</sup> canto, vs. 203

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>173</sup> Bhāg., 10<sup>th</sup> canto, vs. 1132

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>174</sup> RH, vs. 111

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>177</sup> Rāmāyana, Uttarākānda, vs. 515

[Neither has it caused tiredness to body nor expense of money: This name of Rama is very sweet to listen.]

dhana jana tapa prabhāve tohmāk ārādhibe śakya nāi gajendre kevale bhakati karila

parama āpada pāi<sup>178</sup>

[There is no capacity to adore you with money, people and meditation: Gejendra only devotes you facing deep trouble and rescued.]

tīritha barata tapa japa yāga yuguti mantra parama dharama karama karatu nāhi mukuti<sup>179</sup>

[Pilgrimage, fasting, meditation, sacrifice as well as other elaborate priestly rituals could not be able to salvage a person.]

dharma artha kāma yito abhilāṣe yi cāvai mokṣara patha sio hari nāma kīrttana kariā pāvai sava manoratha<sup>180</sup>

[One who desires sanctity, wealth and lust: One who desires salvation: All are received by one simply doing chanting and listening to the name of Lord Hari.]

Only devotion is important—

bhakatise cita bhakatise bita bhakati mokṣara bīja<sup>181</sup>

[Devotion gives the concentration: Devotion gives the wealth: Devotion is the seed of sanctity.]

<sup>179</sup> Bargīta. Nāhi nāhi ramaiā bine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>178</sup> Kīrt., vs. 440

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>180</sup> Kīrt., vs. 201

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>181</sup> Bhāg., 10<sup>th</sup> canto, vs.220